arXiv:1604.08300v2 [hep-ph] 25 Nov 2016

Factorization of Radiative Leptonic Decays of B^- and D^- Mesons Including the Soft Photon Region

Ji-Chong Yang[1] and Mao-Zhi Yang[1,*]

[1]*School of Physics, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, P.R. China*

Abstract

In this work, we study the radiative leptonic decays of B^- and D^- mesons using factorization approach. Factorization is proved to be valid explicitly at 1-loop level at any order of $O(\Lambda_{\rm QCD}/m_Q)$. We consider the contribution in the soft photon region that $E_\gamma \sim \Lambda^2_{\rm QCD}/\, m_Q$. The numerical results show that, the soft photon region is very important for both the B and D mesons. The branching ratios of $B \to \gamma e \nu_e$ is 5.21×10^{-6}, which is about 3 times of the result obtained by only considering the hard photon region $E_\gamma \sim m_Q$. And for the case of $D \to \gamma e \nu_e$, the result of the branching ratio is 1.92×10^{-5}.

PACS numbers: 12.39.St,13.30.Ce

*Electronic address: yangmz@nankai.edu.cn

I. INTRODUCTION

The study of the heavy meson decays is an important subject for understanding the high energy physics and standard model [1]. The rare decays of the pseudoscalar meson also provide a sensitive probe for new physics [2]. In recent years, both experimental and theoretical studies have been improved greatly [3]. However, due to the quark confinement, one cannot probe the quarks directly in the experiments. The effect of hadronic bound-state have to be considered theoretically when treating hadronic decays. The hadronic bound-state effect is certainly highly none-perturbative in QCD. However the limitation in understanding and controlling the non-perturbative effects in QCD is so far still a problem.

Varies theoretical methods on how to deal with the non-perturbative effects have been developed. An important approach known as factorization [4, 5] has been greatly developed to study the decay of the hadrons [6]. In Ref. [7–9], the factorization is constructed using the soft-collinear effective theory (SCET) [10]. The idea of factorization is to absorb the infrared (IR) behavior into the wave-function of hadron, so the matrix element can be written as the convolution of wave-function and a hard kernel

$$F = \int dk \Phi(k) T_{\rm hard}(k) \tag{1}$$

The wave-function should be determined by non-perturbative methods.

The radiative leptonic decay of heavy mesons provides a good opportunity to study the factorization approach, where strong interaction is involved only in one hadronic external state. Many works has been done on this decay mode using factorization [9, 11–13]. In these studies, the heavy quark is treated in the heavy quark effect theory (HQET) [14]. In Ref. [15], the factorization of radiative leptonic decay of B meson is revisited with the next-to-leading logarithmic (NLL) contribution and $O(\Lambda_{\rm QCD}/m_Q)$ contribution at tree level considered. In Ref. [16] factorization is proved to order $O(\Lambda_{\rm QCD}\ /\ m_Q)$. In those works, the energy of photon in the hard region is treated where $E_\gamma \sim m_Q$. One cannot obtain the valid results in the region of soft photon because $O(\Lambda_{\rm QCD}\ /E_\gamma)^2$ contribution is neglected. The soft photon region is very important in the radiative decay both theoretically and numerically. Consider the tree level result for example, if the $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}\ /E_\gamma$ contribution in the decay amplitude is preserved, the branching ratios will be increased by

$$\int_{\Delta E_\gamma}^{\frac{m_Q}{2}} dE_\gamma \left(1 + \frac{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}}{E_\gamma}\right)^2 \sim 1 + \frac{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}}{\Delta E_\gamma} \tag{2}$$

where ΔE_γ is the cut on photon energy to regulate the soft photon. Using $\Lambda_{\rm QCD} = 0.2$ GeV, and ΔE_γ can be as small as $\Delta E_\gamma \sim 0.01$ GeV, the result is possible to increase quite a lot no matter how heavy the meson is. However, this region is still absence in the previous works.

In this work, factorization of the radiative leptonic decays of heavy mesons is proved to be valid explicitly at 1-loop level at any order of $O(\Lambda_{\rm QCD}/m_Q)$, where the soft photon region as $E_\gamma \sim \Lambda^2_{\rm QCD}/m_Q$ is also considered. The numerical results show that, the soft photon region is very important for both B and D mesons. The branching ratios of $B \to \gamma e \nu_e$ can be increased to 5.21×10^{-6}, which is about 3 times of the result we obtained in Ref. [16], where only hard photon region is seriously treated. For the case of $D \to \gamma e \nu_e$, the result is 1.92×10^{-5}, which is close to Ref. [16].

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we present the factorization at tree level and discuss the kinematic of the radiative decay and the wave-function. In Sec. III, the 1-loop corrections of the wave-function are discussed. The factorization at 1-loop order is presented in Sec. IV. In Sec. V, we obtain the result in the soft photon region and hard photon region separately, and briefly discuss the resummation of the large logarithms. The numerical results are presented in Sec. VI. Finally Sec. VII is a summary.

II. TREE LEVEL RESULT AND KINEMATICS

The heavy pseudoscalar meson, B or D meson is constituted with a quark and an anti-quark, where one is heavy and the other is light. To study the factorization, we consider the state of a free quark and an anti-quark at first. The wave-function of the state can be defined as

$$\Phi(k_q, k_Q) = \int d^4x d^4y \exp(ik_q \cdot x) \exp(ik_Q \cdot y) \langle 0|\bar{q}(x)\,[x,y]\,Q(y)|\bar{q}Q\rangle \tag{3}$$

where $[x, y]$ denotes the Wilson line [17]. And the matrix element is defined as

$$F = \langle \gamma|\bar{q}(x) P_L^\mu Q(y)|\bar{q}Q\rangle \tag{4}$$

The prove of factorization is to prove that, the matrix element can be written as the convolution of a wave-function Φ and a hard-scattering kernel T, where T is infrared(IR) finite and independent of the external state.

We start with the matrix elements at tree level. The Feynman diagrams of the radiative leptonic decay at tree level can be shown as Fig. 1. The contribution of Fig. 1.d is suppressed



FIG. 1: tree level amplitudes, the double line represents the heavy quark propagator but not the HQET propagator.

by a factor of $1/M_w^2$, and can be neglected. The amplitudes of Figs. 1.a, b and c can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_a^{(0)} &= \frac{ie_q G_F V_{Qq}}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{q}(p_{\bar{q}}) \not{\varepsilon}_\gamma^* \frac{\not{p}_\gamma - \not{p}_q}{(p_\gamma - p_{\bar{q}})^2} P_L^\mu Q(p_Q) \left(l P_{L\mu} \bar{\nu}\right) \\
\mathcal{A}_b^{(0)} &= \frac{ie_Q G_F V_{Qq}}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{q}(p_{\bar{q}}) P_L^\mu \frac{\not{p}_Q - \not{p}_\gamma + m_Q}{(p_Q - p_\gamma) - m_Q^2} \not{\varepsilon}_\gamma^* Q(p_Q) \left(l P_{L\mu} \bar{\nu}\right) \\
\mathcal{A}_c^{(0)} &= \frac{-eG_F V_{Qq}}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{q}(p_{\bar{q}}) P_L^\mu Q(p_Q) \left(l \not{\varepsilon}_\gamma^* \frac{i(\not{p}_\gamma + \not{p}_l + m_l)}{2\,(p_\gamma \cdot p_l)} P_{L\mu} \bar{\nu} \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where $p_{\bar{q}}$ and p_Q are the momenta of the anti-quark $\bar{q}$ and quark Q, respectively. p_γ, p_l and p_ν are the momenta of photon, lepton and neutrino, ε_γ denotes the polarization vector of photon, and P_L^μ is defined as $\gamma^\mu(1-\gamma_5)$.

Lorentz invariant definition of the wave-function in coordinate space is

$$\Phi_{\alpha\beta}(x,y) = \langle 0 | \bar{q}_\alpha(x)[x,y] Q_\beta(y) | \bar{q}^S(p_{\bar{q}}), Q^s(p_Q) \rangle \tag{6}$$

where S and s are spin labels of $\bar{q}$ and Q, respectively. Then we find

$$\Phi_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)}(k_{\bar{q}}, k_Q) = (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(k_{\bar{q}} - p_{\bar{q}})(2\pi)^4 \delta^4(k_Q - p_Q) \bar{v}_\alpha(p_{\bar{q}}) u_\beta(p_Q) \tag{7}$$

And then the matrix element is

$$F^{(0)} = \int \frac{d^4 k_{\bar{q}}}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4 k_Q}{(2\pi)^4} \Phi^{(0)}(k_{\bar{q}}, k_Q) T^{(0)}(k_{\bar{q}}, k_Q) = \Phi^{(0)} \otimes T^{(0)} \tag{8}$$

with

$$F_a^{(0)} = e_q \not{\varepsilon}_\gamma^* \frac{\not{p}_\gamma - \not{p}_{\bar{q}}}{(p_\gamma - p_{\bar{q}}) - m_q^2} P_L^\mu$$
$$F_b^{(0)} = e_Q P_L^\mu \frac{\not{p}_Q - \not{p}_\gamma + m_Q}{(p_Q - p_\gamma)^2 - m_Q^2} \not{\varepsilon}_\gamma^* \tag{9}$$

Using Eqs. (7-9), we find there are a few different valid ways to define the tree level hard-scattering kernel, which fulfill the requirement of the factorization. For example, the one we used in Ref. [16] is

$$T_a^{(0)} = -e_q \frac{\not{\varepsilon}_\gamma^* \not{p}_\gamma - 2\varepsilon_\gamma^* \cdot k_q}{2p_\gamma \cdot k_{\bar{q}}} P_L^\mu, \qquad T_b^{(0)} = -e_Q P_L^\mu \frac{2\varepsilon_\gamma^* \cdot k_Q - \not{p}_\gamma \not{\varepsilon}_\gamma^*}{2k_Q \cdot p_\gamma} \tag{10}$$

and another valid definition is:

$$T_a^{(0)} = e_q \not{\varepsilon}_\gamma^* \frac{\not{p}_\gamma - \not{k}_{\bar{q}}}{(p_\gamma - k_{\bar{q}})^2 - m_q^2} P_L^\mu, \qquad T_b^{(0)} = e_Q P_L^\mu \frac{\not{k}_Q - \not{p}_\gamma + m_Q}{(k_Q - p_\gamma)^2 - m_Q^2} \not{\varepsilon}_\gamma^* \tag{11}$$

We find those two different $T^{(0)}$'s will lead to different results. Especially for the case that emitting a soft photon where E_γ is small, using the definition in Eq. (10), there will be IR divergence which can not be canceled, and the factorization will fail. However, if we use the definition of Eq. (11), we find that, at 1-loop order, all IR divergence can be absorbed into the wave-function to all orders of $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$ / m_Q. We will briefly discuss it in Sec. III. To study the decay including the soft photon region, we choose the definition in Eq. (11).

The amplitude $\mathcal{A}_c$ leads to another hard scattering kernel $T_c^{(0)}$. We find

$$T_c^{(0)} = -e \frac{\not{p}_\gamma \not{\varepsilon}_\gamma^*}{2p_\gamma \cdot p_l} P_L^\mu + e P_L^\mu \left(-\frac{\varepsilon \cdot p_l}{p_\gamma \cdot p_l} \right) \tag{12}$$

The kinematic feature of the soft photon region and hard photon region is very different. We work in the rest-frame of the meson, and we choose the frame such that the direction of the photon momentum is on the opposite z axis, so the momentum of the photon can be written as $p_\gamma = (E_\gamma, 0, 0, -E_\gamma)$, with $0 \leq E_\gamma \leq m_Q/2$. To consider the contribution when the photon is soft, we consider the region of the energy of photon E_γ separately. In the soft photon region, we assume $E_\gamma \sim \Lambda_{\rm QCD}^2 / m_Q$, while in the hard photon region, we assume $E_\gamma \sim m_Q$.

When $E_\gamma \sim m_Q$, only part of $F_a^{(0)}$ is at the leading order of heavy quark expansion

$$F_{a\,\text{leading}}^{(0)} = e_q \not{\varepsilon}_\gamma^* \frac{\not{p}_\gamma}{(p_\gamma - p_{\bar{q}}) - m_q^2} P_L^\mu \sim O(1) \tag{13}$$

The others are at the order $O\left(\Lambda_{\rm QCD}/m_Q\right)$. Gauge invariance is kept order by order. When $E_\gamma \sim \Lambda^2_{\rm QCD}/m_Q$, also part of $F_a^{(0)}$ is at the leading order, and

$$F^{(0)}_{a\ \text{leading}} = e_q \not{\varepsilon}^*_\gamma \frac{-\not{p}_{\bar{q}}}{(p_\gamma - p_{\bar{q}}) - m_q^2} P_L^\mu \sim O(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}}) \tag{14}$$

With the help of u_Q, the $F_b^{(0)}$ can be written as

$$F_b^{(0)} = e_Q P_L^\mu \frac{2p_Q \cdot \varepsilon - \not{p}_\gamma \not{\varepsilon}}{(p_Q - p_\gamma)^2 - m_Q^2} \tag{15}$$

so $F_b^{(0)}$ is found to be at sub-leading order because the polarization vector ε dose not have the 0-component.

Different from the hard photon case, in the soft photon region, gauge invariance is not kept at the leading order explicitly. In fact, part of $F_c^{(0)}$ becomes leading order. We find that gauge invariance is kept explicitly to the sub-leading order. So, for E_γ is small, we should consider the sub-leading order contribution.

III. THE CORRECTION OF WAVE-FUNCTION AT $O(\alpha_s)$ ORDER

The expansion of the decay amplitude can be written as [12]

$$F = F^{(0)} + F^{(1)} + \ldots = \Phi^{(0)} \otimes T^{(0)} + \Phi^{(1)} \otimes T^{(0)} + \Phi^{(0)} \otimes T^{(1)} + \ldots \tag{16}$$

At the 1-loop level, we find

$$F^{(1)} = \Phi^{(1)} \otimes T^{(0)} + \Phi^{(0)} \otimes T^{(1)} \tag{17}$$

The 1-loop corrections of wave-function come from the QCD interaction and the Wilson-Line. The later can be written as the path-ordered exponential [12, 17]

$$[x, y] = \mathcal{P}\left[\exp\left(ig_s \int_y^x dz_\mu A^\mu(z)\right)\right] = \mathcal{P}\left[\sum_n \frac{(ig_s)^n}{n!} \prod_i^n \int_y^x dz_{i\mu} A^\mu(z_i)\right] \tag{18}$$

The corrections are shown in Fig. 2.

We use $\Phi_q^{(1)}$ to represent the correction with the gluon from the Wilson Line connected to the light quark external leg. So the correction in Fig. 2.a can be written as [16]

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi^{(1)}_{q\ ij} = & ig_s^2 C_F \int \frac{d^d l}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{l^2} \int_0^1 d\alpha \left(\bar{v}_{\bar{q}} \gamma^\rho \frac{(\not{l} + \not{p}_{\bar{q}} - m_{\bar{q}})}{(l + p_{\bar{q}})^2 - m_{\bar{q}}^2}\right)_j (u_Q)_i \\ & \times \int \frac{d^d k_q}{(2\pi)^d} \int \frac{d^d k_Q}{(2\pi)^d} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial k_Q^\rho} - \frac{\partial}{\partial k_q^\rho}\right) \left[\delta^4(k_q - (p_{\bar{q}} + \alpha l))\delta^4(k_Q - (p_Q - \alpha l))\right]\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$



FIG. 2: The 1-loop correction of wave-functions. $\Phi^{(1)} \otimes T_a^{(0)}$ and $\Phi^{(1)} \otimes T_b^{(0)}$ are established in this figure.

where C_F is $(N^2-1)/2N = 4/3$ for $SU(N=3)$ gauge group, i and j are the matrix index in spinner space, so

$$\Phi_q^{(1)} \otimes T^{(0)} = ig_s^2 C_F \int \frac{d^d l}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{l^2} \bar{v}_{\bar{q}} \gamma^\rho \frac{(\not{l} + \not{p}_{\bar{q}} - m_{\bar{q}})}{(l+p_{\bar{q}})^2 - m_{\bar{q}}^2} \int_0^1 d\alpha \left(\left(\frac{\partial T^{(0)}}{\partial k_q^\rho} - \frac{\partial T^{(0)}}{\partial k_Q^\rho} \right) \Big|_{k_q=k', k_Q=K'} \right) u_Q$$
$$k' = p_{\bar{q}} + \alpha l, \quad K' = p_Q - \alpha l \tag{20}$$

Similar to Φ_q, the correction in Fig. 2.b is

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{Q\,ij}^{(1)} = & -iC_F g_s^2 \int \frac{d^d l}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{l^2} \int_0^1 d\alpha \left(\bar{v}_{\bar{q}}\right)_j \left(\frac{(\not{p}_Q - \not{l} + m_Q)}{(p_Q - l)^2 - m_Q^2} \gamma^\rho u_Q \right)_i \\ & \times \int \frac{d^d k_q}{(2\pi)^d} \int \frac{d^d k_Q}{(2\pi)^d} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial k_Q^\rho} - \frac{\partial}{\partial k_q^\rho} \right) \left[\delta^4(k_q - (p_{\bar{q}} + \alpha l)) \delta^4(k_Q - (p_Q - \alpha l)) \right] \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_Q^{(1)} \otimes T^{(0)} = & -iC_F g_s^2 \int \frac{d^d l}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{l^2} \int_0^1 d\alpha \bar{v}_{\bar{q}} \left(\left(\frac{\partial T^{(0)}}{\partial k_q^\rho} - \frac{\partial T^{(0)}}{\partial k_Q^\rho} \right) \Big|_{k_q=k', k_Q=K'} \right) \\ & \times \frac{(\not{p}_Q - \not{l} + m_Q)}{(p_Q - l)^2 - m_Q^2} \gamma^\rho u_Q \\ & k' = p_{\bar{q}} + \alpha l, \quad K' = p_Q - \alpha l \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

We use $\Phi_{\rm Wfc}$ to denote the correction shown in Fig. 2.c. We find

$$\Phi^{(1)}_{\rm Wfc\ ij} = -i\frac{g_s^2 C_F}{2}\int\frac{d^dl}{(2\pi)^d}\frac{1}{l^2}\int_0^1 d\alpha\int_0^1 d\beta\,(\bar{v}_{\bar{q}})_j\,(u_Q)_i$$
$$\times\int\frac{d^dk_q}{(2\pi)^d}\int\frac{d^dk_Q}{(2\pi)^d}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial k_q}-\frac{\partial}{\partial k_Q}\right)^2\left[\delta^4(k_q-(p_{\bar{q}}+(\alpha-\beta)l))\delta^4(k_Q-(p_Q+(\alpha-\beta)l))\right] \tag{23}$$

and

$$\Phi^{(1)}_{\rm Wfc}\otimes T^{(0)} = -i\frac{g_s^2 C_F}{2}\int\frac{d^dl}{(2\pi)^d}\int_0^1 d\alpha\int_0^1 d\beta\frac{1}{l^2}\bar{v}_{\bar{q}}\left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial k_q}-\frac{\partial}{\partial k_Q}\right)^2 T^{(0)}\Big|_{k_q=k',k_Q=K'}\right)u_Q$$
$$k'=p_{\bar{q}}+(\alpha-\beta)l, K'=p_Q+(\alpha-\beta)l \tag{24}$$

When the wave functions at 1-loop order convolute with the tree level hard function T^0, the momenta in T^0, k_Q and $k_{\bar{q}}$ will not be on the mass-shell because of the momentum of the gluon that connects with the quark line flowing into it. The δ functions in Eqs. (19), (21) and (23) clearly show this, where p_Q and $p_{\bar{q}}$ are the momenta of the external on-shell quark. The off-shellness of k_Q and $k_{\bar{q}}$ makes the Eqs. (10) and (11) not equivalent. We find that, by using the definition of Eq. (11) for T^0, all the infrared divergence can be absorbed into the wave function. Therefore the definition in Eq. (11) is used in next sections.

The corrections shown in Figs. 2.d, e and f can be denoted as $\Phi^{(1)}_{\rm box}$, $\Phi^{(1)}_{\rm extq}$ and $\Phi^{(1)}_{\rm extQ}$. We find that, they have the same forms as the free particle 1-loop QCD box correction and external leg corrections.

IV. 1-LOOP FACTORIZATION

The hard-scattering kernel at 1-loop, denoted as $T^{(1)}$ can be calculated by using the 1-loop QCD corrections of free quarks $F^{(1)}$, and the 1-loop corrections of the wave function $\Phi^{(1)}\otimes T^{(0)}$ through the relation

$$\Phi^{(0)}\otimes T^{(1)} = F^{(1)} - \Phi^{(1)}\otimes T^{(0)} \tag{25}$$

For simplicity, we define

$$x=m_Q^2,\ \ y=2p_Q\cdot p_\gamma,\ \ w=2p_Q\cdot p_{\bar{q}},\ \ z=2p_\gamma\cdot p_{\bar{q}} \tag{26}$$



FIG. 3: The 1-loop correction of $F_a^{(1)}$.

For convenience, we will establish our results as $\Phi^{(0)} \otimes T^{(1)}$ instead of $T^{(1)}$, because the delta function in $\Phi^{(0)}$ will just replace the transmission momentum k_Q and $k_{\bar{q}}$ in $T^{(1)}$ with the momentums of the quarks p_Q and $p_{\bar{q}}$.

In the calculation, the collinear IR divergences are regulated by assigning a small mass m_q to the light quark. The soft IR divergences will not appear explicitly in the calculations. We use the dimensional regulator (DR) in $D = 4 - \epsilon$ dimension and $\overline{\rm MS}$ scheme [18] to regulate the ultraviolet (UV) divergences, and $N_{\rm UV}$ is defined as

$$N_{\rm UV} = \frac{2}{\epsilon} - \gamma_E + \log(4\pi) \tag{27}$$

We use the renormalization scale equal to the factorization scale.

A. corrections of $F_a^{(1)}$ and $\Phi^{(1)} \otimes T_a^{(0)}$

The Feynman diagrams of $F_a^{(1)}$ and $\Phi^{(1)} \otimes T_a^{(0)}$ are shown in Fig. 3 and Fig. 2. The diagrams in Figs. 3.(a)$\sim$(f) are denoted as $F_a^{(1)\rm EM}$, $F_a^{(1)\rm Weak}$, $F_a^{(1)\rm Wfc}$, $F_a^{(1)\rm box}$, $F_a^{(1)\rm ext\ q}$ and $F_a^{(1)\rm ext\ Q}$ respectively. For simplicity, we define $q = p_\gamma - p_{\bar{q}}$.

The $F_a^{(1)\rm EM}$ is

$$F_a^{(1)\rm EM} = ie_q C_F g_s^2 \int \frac{d^d l}{(2\pi)^d} \bar{v}_{\bar{q}} \gamma_\rho \frac{(\not{p}_{\bar{q}} + \not{l} - m_q)}{(p_{\bar{q}} + l)^2 - m_q^2} \not{\epsilon} \frac{\not{l} - \not{q} - m_q}{(l-q)^2 - m_q^2} \gamma^\rho \frac{\not{q}}{q^2} P_L^\mu \frac{1}{l^2} u_Q \tag{28}$$

and we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_a^{(1)\mathrm{EM}} &= -ie_q g_s^2 C_F \frac{i}{16\pi^2}\bar{v}_{\bar{q}}\frac{\not{\epsilon}\not{p}_\gamma}{q^2}P_L^\mu u_Q \times \left(N_{\mathrm{UV}} - \log\frac{z}{\mu^2} + 2\log\frac{z}{m_q^2}\right) \\
&- ie_q g_s^2 C_F \frac{i}{16\pi^2}\bar{v}_{\bar{q}}\frac{\not{\epsilon}(-\not{p}_{\bar{q}})}{q^2}P_L^\mu u_Q \times \left(N_{\mathrm{UV}} - \log\frac{z}{\mu^2} + 1\right)
\end{aligned}
\tag{29}
$$

The corresponding correction of the wave-function to the $F_a^{(1)\mathrm{EM}}$ is $\Phi_q^{(1)} \otimes T_a^{(0)}$, which can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_q^{(1)} \otimes T_a^{(0)} &= ie_q C_F g_s^2 \int_0^1 d\alpha \int \frac{d^d l}{(2\pi)^d}\frac{1}{l^2}\bar{v}_q\gamma^\rho \frac{\not{l} + \not{p}_q}{(l+p_q)^2 - m_q^2}\not{\epsilon} \\
&\times \left(-\frac{\gamma_\rho}{(q-\alpha l)^2 - m_q^2} + \frac{2(q-\alpha l)_\rho(\not{q} - \alpha\not{l})}{((q-\alpha l)^2 - m_q^2)^2}\right) u_Q
\end{aligned}
\tag{30}
$$

Using the method given in Appendix A, we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_q^{(1)} \otimes T_a^{(0)} &= \frac{i}{16\pi^2}\frac{-ie_q C_F g_s^2 \bar{v}\not{\epsilon}\not{p}_\gamma P_L^\mu u_Q}{q^2}\left(N_{UV} - \log\frac{z}{\mu^2} + 2\log\frac{z}{m_q^2}\right) \\
&+ \frac{i}{16\pi^2}\frac{-ie_q C_F g_s^2 \bar{v}\not{\epsilon}(-\not{p}_{\bar{q}})P_L^\mu u_Q}{q^2}\left(N_{UV} - \log\frac{z}{\mu^2} + 2\right)
\end{aligned}
\tag{31}
$$

So we can obtain

$$
\Phi^{(0)} \otimes T_a^{(1)\mathrm{EM}} = -ie_q C_F g_s^2 \frac{i}{16\pi^2}\frac{\bar{v}\not{\epsilon}\not{p}_{\bar{q}} P_L^\mu u_Q}{q^2} \tag{32}
$$

The correction $F_a^{(1)\mathrm{Wfc}}$ is

$$
F_a^{(1)\mathrm{Wfc}} = -ie_q C_F g_s^2 \bar{v}_{\bar{q}}\not{\epsilon}\frac{\not{q}}{q^2}P_L^\mu u_Q \left(N_{UV} - \log\frac{z}{\mu} + 1\right) \tag{33}
$$

In Ref. [12, 16], the correction of wave-function corresponding to $F_a^{(1)\mathrm{Wfc}}$ is found to be 0. However, using the $T_a^{(0)}$ in Eq. (11), we find

$$
\Phi_{\mathrm{Wfc}}^{(1)} \otimes T_a^{(0)} = (D-2)ig_s^2 C_F \int \frac{d^D l}{(2\pi)^D}\frac{1}{l^2}\bar{v}_{\bar{q}}\int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \frac{\not{q} - (\alpha-\beta)\not{l}}{(q-(\alpha-\beta)l)^4}u_Q \tag{34}
$$

The result is given in Eq. (B7)

$$
\Phi_{\mathrm{Wfc}}^{(1)} \otimes T_a^{(0)} = -2ig_s^2 C_F \bar{v}_{\bar{q}}\not{\epsilon}\frac{\not{q}}{q^2}P_L^\mu u_Q \frac{i}{16\pi^2}\left(N_{\mathrm{UV}} - \log\frac{z}{\mu^2} + 3\right) \tag{35}
$$

correspondingly we find

$$
\Phi^{(0)} \otimes T_a^{(1)\mathrm{Wfc}} = ie_q C_F g_s^2 \frac{i}{16\pi^2}\frac{\bar{v}\not{\epsilon}\not{q}P_L^\mu u_Q}{q^2}\left(N_{\mathrm{UV}} - \log\frac{z}{\mu^2} + 5\right) \tag{36}
$$

The correction corresponding to $F_a^{(1)\text{Weak}}$ is $\Phi_Q^{(1)} \otimes T_a^{(0)}$, which can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_Q^{(1)} \otimes T_a^{(0)} &= ie_q C_F g_s^2 \int \frac{d^d l}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{l^2} \bar{v}_{\bar{q}} \left(\not{\epsilon} \int_0^1 d\alpha \left(\frac{\gamma_\rho}{(\alpha l - q)^2} - \frac{2(\alpha l - q)^\rho \left(\alpha \not{l} - \not{q}\right)}{(\alpha l - q)^4} \right) \right) \\ &\times P_L^\mu \frac{(\not{p}_Q - \not{l} + m_Q)}{(p_Q - l)^2 - m_Q^2} \gamma^\rho u_Q \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

We calculate Weak vertex correction $F_a^{(1)\text{Weak}}$ together with $\Phi_Q^{(1)} \otimes T_a^{(0)}$, and finally we get

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi^{(0)} \otimes T_a^{(1)\text{Weak}} &= ie_q g_s^2 \frac{i}{16\pi^2} \frac{\bar{v}_{\bar{q}} \not{\epsilon}}{q^2} \Big(-\not{p}_Q P_L^\mu (4C_{00} - 1 - B_0(x - y + w - z, x, 0)) \\ &+ (B_0(x, 0, x) + B_0(-z, 0, 0) - 2B_0(x - y + w - z, x, 0)) \not{q} P_L^\mu \\ &+ (1 - q^2 C_0 + 2p_Q^2 C_{11} + 4p_Q^2 C_{12} - 2q^2 C_{22} - 2p_Q \cdot q C_1 - 4q^2 C_2) \not{q} P_L^\mu \\ &+ (-4p_Q \cdot q C_{11} - 4p_Q \cdot q C_{12} - 4q^2 C_{12} - 2p_Q \cdot q C_1 - 2q^2 C_1 - 2q^2 C_2 - q^2 C_0) \not{p}_Q P_L^\mu \\ &+ (-8p_Q^2 C_{11} - 8p_Q^2 C_{12} + 12q^2 C_{22} - 4p_Q^2 C_1 + 16q^2 C_2) p_Q^\mu (1 - \gamma_5) \\ &+ (4q^2 C_0 + 16p_Q \cdot q C_{11} + 16p_Q \cdot q C_{12} + 12q^2 C_{12} + 8p_Q \cdot q C_1 + 8q^2 C_1) p_Q^\mu (1 - \gamma_5) \\ &+ (-4C_{11} - 8C_{22} - 12C_{12} - 8C_2 - 4C_1) q^\mu \not{q} (1 + \gamma_5) m_Q \\ &+ (2q^2 \not{p}_Q P_L^\mu - 2q \cdot p_Q \not{q}) (2a_1 - C_2 - 2C_{22}) \Big) u_Q \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

where B_0, C_i and C_{ij} are scalar Passarino-Veltman functions(Pa-Ve function) [19] and defined as

$$B_0(r_{10}^2; m_0^2, m_1^2) = \frac{(2\pi\mu)^\epsilon}{i\pi^2} \int d^d k \prod_{i=0}^{1} \frac{1}{(k + r_i)^2 - m_i^2} \tag{39}$$

$$C_0(r_{10}^2, r_{12}^2, r_{20}^2; m_0^2, m_1^2, m_2^2) = \frac{(2\pi\mu)^\epsilon}{i\pi^2} \int d^d k \prod_{i=0}^{2} \frac{1}{(k + r_i)^2 - m_i^2} \tag{40}$$

and C_1, C_2 and C_{ij} are defined in C^μ and $C^{\mu\nu}$ with

$$\begin{aligned} C^\mu &= r_1^\mu C_1 + r_2 C_2 \\ C^{\mu\nu} &= g^{\mu\nu} C_{00} + \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} r_i^\mu r_j^\nu C_{ij} \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} C^\mu(r_{10}^2, r_{12}^2, r_{20}^2; m_0^2, m_1^2, m_2^2) &= \frac{(2\pi\mu)^\epsilon}{i\pi^2} \int d^d k k^\mu \prod_{i=0}^{2} \frac{1}{(k + r_i)^2 - m_i^2} \\ C^{\mu\nu}(r_{10}^2, r_{12}^2, r_{20}^2; m_0^2, m_1^2, m_2^2) &= \frac{(2\pi\mu)^\epsilon}{i\pi^2} \int d^d k k^\mu k^\nu \prod_{i=0}^{2} \frac{1}{(k + r_i)^2 - m_i^2} \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$



FIG. 4: The 1-loop correction of $F_b^{(1)}$.

In the expression above, the function C's are short for $C(-z, x-y+w-z, x; 0, 0, x)$'s.

And a_1 in Eq. (38) is defined as:

$$a_1 = m_Q^2 \int_0^1 dx \int_0^{1-x} dy \int_0^1 d\alpha \frac{\alpha^2 y^2}{(\alpha^2 y^2 m_Q^2 + 2\alpha x y p_Q \cdot q + x(1-x)(-q^2))^2} \tag{43}$$

There is no IR Div caused by gluon in those integrals. For convenience, we will give the result of C_0, C_{ij}, B_0 and a_1 up to the sub-leading order after expansion in the next section.

When taking the renormalization scale equal to the factorization scale, the corrections of the external legs and the box diagram are equal to the relevant corrections to the wave-function, then we can obtain

$$T_a^{(1)\text{ext q}} = T_a^{(1)\text{ext Q}} = T_a^{(1)\text{box}} = 0 \tag{44}$$

B. corrections of $F_b^{(1)}$ and $\Phi^{(1)} \otimes T_b^{(0)}$

The Feynman diagrams of $F_b^{(1)}$ and $\Phi^{(1)} \otimes T_b^{(0)}$ are shown in Fig. 4 and Fig. 2. The diagrams of Figs. 4.(a)$\sim$(f) are denoted as $F_b^{(1)\text{Weak}}$, $F_b^{(1)\text{EM}}$, $F_b^{(1)\text{Wfc}}$, $F_b^{(1)\text{box}}$, $F_b^{(1)\text{ext q}}$ and $F_b^{(1)\text{ext Q}}$ respectively. For simplicity, we define $Q = p_Q - p_\gamma$.

There are collinear IR divergences in $F_b^{(1)\text{Weak}}$ in higher orders of $O(\Lambda_{\text{QCD}} \,/\, m_Q)$ corrections. When $E_\gamma \sim m_Q$ or $E_\gamma \sim \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^2 \,/\, m_Q$, $F_b^{(1)\text{Weak}}$ is at the order of $O(\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}/m_Q)^2$, while $E_\gamma \sim \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$, $F_b^{(1)\text{Weak}}$ is at order $O(\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}/m_Q)$. The relevant correction of the wave-function

is $\Phi_q^{(1)} \otimes T_b^{(0)}$, which can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_q^{(1)} \otimes T_b^{(0)} &= -ie_Q g_s^2 \int \frac{d^d l}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{l^2} \bar{v}_{\bar{q}} \gamma^\rho \frac{\not{l} + \not{p}_{\bar{q}}}{(l+p_{\bar{q}})^2 - m_q^2} P_L^\mu \\ &\times \int_0^1 d\alpha \left(\frac{\gamma_\rho}{(Q-\alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2} - \frac{2(Q-\alpha l)^\rho \left(\not{Q} - \alpha \not{l} + m_Q \right)}{((Q-\alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2)^2} \right) \not{\epsilon} u_Q \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

The hard scattering kernel $\Phi^{(0)} \otimes T_b^{(1)\text{Weak}} = F_b^{(1)\text{Weak}} - \Phi_q^{(1)} \otimes T_b^{(0)}$ is given in Eq. (A33). We find the collinear IR divergences are canceled to all orders, and the result is

$$\begin{aligned}&\Phi^{(0)} \otimes T_b^{(1)\text{Weak}} = ie_Q g_s^2 C_F \bar{v}_{\bar{q}} \\ &\times \left(\left(\frac{y-w+z}{w-z} \left(B_0(x-y,0,x) - B_0(x-y+w-z,0,x) \right) + 2 \right) P_L^\mu \frac{\not{Q} + m_Q}{Q^2 - m_Q^2} \right. \\ &+ 2\not{Q}\gamma^\mu (1+\gamma_5) C_2 - 4 p_{\bar{q}}^\mu (1+\gamma_5) \frac{Q^2 + \not{Q} m_Q}{Q^2 - m_Q^2} C_2 - 4 (p_{\bar{q}}^\mu C_{12} - Q^\mu C_{22})(1+\gamma_5) \frac{\not{Q} m_Q + Q^2}{Q^2 - m_Q^2} \\ &\left. + f_1 \left(\left(\frac{w-z}{y} + \frac{w-z}{x-y} \right) \not{Q} + \frac{w-z}{y} m_Q \right) + f_2 + f_3 \right) \not{\epsilon} u_Q \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

where the C_i's and C_{ij}'s are short for the Pa-Ve functions $C_i(0, x-y+w-z, x; 0, 0, x)$ and $C_{ij}(0, x-y+w-z, x; 0, 0, x)$. And f_1, f_2, f_3 are defined in Eqs. (A6), (A7) and (A28).

The result of $F_b^{(1)\text{Wfc}}$ is

$$\begin{aligned}F_b^{(1)\text{Wfc}} &= -ie_Q C_F g_s^2 \bar{v}_{\bar{q}} \frac{i}{16\pi^2} P_L^\mu \left(\frac{(2x-y)\not{Q} + (2x-2y) m_Q}{y^2} \left(N_{\text{UV}} - \log \frac{x}{\mu^2} + 2 \right. \right. \\ &\left. - \frac{y(2x-y)}{(x-y)^2} \log \frac{x}{y} + \frac{x}{x-y} \right) \\ &+ \frac{\not{Q} + m_Q}{y} \left(2N_{\text{UV}} - 2\log \frac{x}{\mu^2} + 2 - 2\frac{y(2x-y)}{(x-y)^2} \log \frac{x}{y} + 2\frac{x}{x-y} \right) \\ &\left. + \frac{2x\not{Q} + (2x-y) m_Q}{y^2} \left(2N_{\text{UV}} - 2\log \frac{y}{\mu^2} + 1 - 2\frac{x(x-2y)}{(x-y)^2} \log \frac{x}{y} - 2\frac{y}{x-y} \right) \right) \not{\epsilon} u_Q \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

Notice that, when E_γ is small, $F_b^{(0)} \sim O(1/\Lambda_{\text{QCD}})$ is at sub-leading order, however, $F_b^{(1)\text{Wfc}} \sim O\left(m_Q/\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^2\right)$ is larger then leading order. This behavior is new for the soft photon case. We will discuss it in the next section.

The corresponding correction of the wave function is $\Phi_{\text{Wfc}}^{(1)} \otimes T_b^{(0)}$, which can be written

as

$$\Phi^{(1)}_{\rm Wfc}\otimes T^{(0)}_b=-i2g_s^2e_QC_f\int\frac{d^Dl}{(2\pi)^D}\frac{1}{l^2}\bar{v}_{\bar{q}}P_L^\mu\int_0^1d\alpha\int_0^1d\beta\left(\frac{2m_Q^2(\not{Q}+(\alpha-\beta)\not{l}+m_Q)}{((Q+(\alpha-\beta)l)^2-m_Q^2)^3}\right.$$
$$\left.-(\frac{D-2}{2})\frac{(\not{Q}+(\alpha-\beta)\not{l})}{((Q+(\alpha-\beta)l)^2-m_Q^2)^2}-(\frac{D-4}{2})\frac{m_Q}{((Q+(\alpha-\beta)l)^2-m_Q^2)^2}\right)\not{\epsilon}u_Q \tag{48}$$

the result is

$$\Phi^{(1)}_{\rm Wfc}\otimes T^{(0)}_b=-i2g_s^2C_F\frac{i}{16\pi^2}\bar{v}_{\bar{q}}P_L^\mu\left(\frac{m_Q}{y}\left(\frac{x}{x-y}\log\frac{x}{y}+\frac{y}{x-y}-\frac{x^2}{(x-y)^2}\log\frac{x}{y}\right)\right.$$
$$\left.+\frac{\not{Q}+m_Q}{y}\left(-N_{\rm UV}+\log\frac{y}{\mu^2}-\frac{8x}{x-y}\log\frac{x}{y}+\frac{y}{x-y}-\frac{x^2\log\frac{x}{y}}{(x-y)^2}-2\right)\right)\not{\epsilon}u_Q \tag{49}$$

Then the kernel can be obtained by using Eq. (25).

The result of $F_b^{(1)\rm EM}$ is obtained to be

$$F_b^{(1)\rm EM}=ie_QC_fg_s^2\frac{i}{16\pi^2}\bar{v}_{\bar{q}}P_L^\mu\frac{\not{Q}+m_Q}{y}\left(\left(N_{\rm UV}-8-\log\frac{x}{\mu^2}-\frac{10x}{x-y}\log\frac{x}{y}+\frac{7y}{x-y}\log\frac{x}{y}\right.\right.$$
$$\left.+\frac{5x-y}{y}\left(-2{\rm Li}_2(1-\frac{y}{x})+\frac{\pi^2}{3}\right)\right)\not{\epsilon}$$
$$+\left(\frac{2x+y}{2(x-y)y}\log\frac{y}{x}+\frac{1}{y^2}\left(\frac{\pi^2}{6}x-y-x{\rm Li}_2(1-\frac{y}{x})\right)\right)4p_Q\cdot\varepsilon\not{p}_\gamma+\frac{1}{x-y}\log\frac{x}{y}2m_Q\not{p}_\gamma\not{\epsilon}$$
$$\left.+\left(\frac{4x-5y}{2(x-y)^2}\log\frac{y}{x}-\frac{1}{2(x-y)}+\frac{1}{y}\left(\frac{\pi^2}{2}-3{\rm Li}_2(1-\frac{y}{x})\right)\right)4m_Qp_Q\cdot\varepsilon\right)u_Q \tag{50}$$

The corresponding correction of wave function is

$$\Phi^{(1)}_Q\otimes T^{(0)}_b=ie_QC_Fg_s^2\int\frac{d^dl}{(2\pi)^d}\frac{1}{l^2}\bar{v}_{\bar{q}}P_L^\mu$$
$$\times\int_0^1d\alpha\left(\frac{\gamma_\rho}{(Q-\alpha l)^2-m_Q^2}-\frac{2(Q-\alpha l)^\rho\left(\not{Q}-\alpha\not{l}+m_Q\right)}{((Q-\alpha l)^2-m_Q^2)^2}\right)\not{\epsilon}\frac{(\not{p}_Q-\not{l}+m_Q)}{(p_Q-l)^2-m_Q^2}\gamma^\rho u_Q \tag{51}$$

The result of the momentum-integration is

$$\Phi^{(1)}_Q\otimes T^{(0)}_b=ie_QC_Fg_s^2\bar{v}_{\bar{q}}P_L^\mu\frac{i}{16\pi^2}\left(2\left(\not{p}_Q+\frac{2x-y}{y}(\not{Q}+m_Q)\right)(C_0+d_1)+2(-(2x-y)\not{p}_\gamma+y\not{p}_Q)d_2\right.$$
$$\left.-d_3\not{p}_\gamma+d_4m_Q+d_5(\not{p}_Q+m_Q)-(\frac{\not{Q}+m_Q}{-y}B_0(x,x,0)-(\not{Q}+m_Q)C_0+\gamma_\mu C^\mu+d_6)\right)\not{\epsilon}u_Q \tag{52}$$

where the C_0 and C_μ are short for Pa-Ve functions $C_0(x-y,0,x;0,x,x)$ and $C_\mu(x-y,0,x;0,x,x)$, and

$$d_1 = -\left(\frac{i\left(2\mathrm{Li}_2(\frac{2\mathrm{y}}{\mathrm{y}+\mathrm{i}\sqrt{(4\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y})\mathrm{y}}}) - 2\mathrm{Li}_2(\frac{2\mathrm{iy}}{\mathrm{iy}+\sqrt{(4\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y})\mathrm{y}}})\right)}{\sqrt{y(4x-y)}} \right.$$
$$\left. -\frac{\mathrm{Li}_2(\frac{\mathrm{y}}{\mathrm{x}}) + \log\frac{\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y}}{\mathrm{x}}\log\frac{\mathrm{y}}{\mathrm{x}}}{y} - \frac{2\log\frac{x}{y}\cot^{-1}(\frac{y-2x}{\sqrt{y(4x-y)}})}{\sqrt{y(4x-y)}} \right) \tag{53}$$

$$d_2 = \frac{x}{y^3}\left(2\mathrm{Li}_3(1-\frac{\mathrm{y}}{\mathrm{x}}) - 3\mathrm{Li}_2(1-\frac{\mathrm{y}}{\mathrm{x}}) - 2\mathrm{Li}_3(\frac{\mathrm{y}}{\mathrm{x}}) + 2\mathrm{Li}_2(\frac{\mathrm{y}}{\mathrm{x}})\log\frac{\mathrm{y}}{\mathrm{x}} - \log\frac{\mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y}}\log^2\frac{\mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{y}} - 2\zeta(3) + \frac{\pi^2}{2}\right)$$
$$+\frac{1}{y^2}\left(\frac{y-2x}{x-y}\mathrm{Li}_2(1-\frac{\mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{y}}) + \log\frac{\mathrm{y}}{\mathrm{x}} - 1\right) \tag{54}$$

$$d_3 = \frac{2}{y}\left(\left(\frac{x}{y}\left(2\mathrm{Li}_2(1-\frac{\mathrm{y}}{\mathrm{x}}) - \frac{\pi^2}{3}\right) + \log\frac{x}{y} + 2\right)\right)$$
$$+\frac{x}{(x-y)^2}\left(2\mathrm{Li}_2(1-\frac{\mathrm{y}}{\mathrm{x}}) + 4\mathrm{Li}_2(1-\frac{\mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{y}}) + \log\frac{\mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{y}}\left(\frac{2\mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{y}} + 3\log\frac{\mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{y}}\right)\right) \tag{55}$$

$$d_4 = \frac{2}{y}\left(\frac{\pi^2}{6} - \mathrm{Li}_2(1-\frac{\mathrm{y}}{\mathrm{x}})\right) + \frac{2y}{(x-y)^2}\left(-\mathrm{Li}_2(1-\frac{\mathrm{y}}{\mathrm{x}}) - 2\mathrm{Li}_2(1-\frac{\mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{y}}) - \log\frac{\mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{y}}(\frac{3}{2}\log\frac{\mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{y}} + \frac{\mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{y}})\right) \tag{56}$$

$$d_5 = \frac{2x}{(x-y)^2}\left(\mathrm{Li}_2(1-\frac{\mathrm{y}}{\mathrm{x}}) + 2\mathrm{Li}_2(1-\frac{\mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{y}}) + \log\frac{\mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{y}}(\frac{3}{2}\log\frac{\mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{y}} + 1)\right) \tag{57}$$

and

$$d_6 = \frac{1}{y^2}\left(\left((2x-y)(\frac{\pi^2}{3} - 2\mathrm{Li}_2(1-\frac{\mathrm{y}}{\mathrm{x}})) - 6\mathrm{y} - 4\mathrm{y}\log\frac{\mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{y}} + \frac{6\mathrm{xy}}{(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y})^2}\left(\mathrm{y}\log\frac{\mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{y}} - (\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y})\right)\right)\not{p}_\gamma\right.$$
$$+\left(\frac{\frac{\pi^2}{3} - 2\mathrm{Li}_2(1-\frac{\mathrm{y}}{\mathrm{x}})}{y} - \frac{3\log\frac{x}{y}+4}{x-y} + \frac{4y\log\frac{x}{y}}{(x-y)^2}\right)m_Q$$
$$\left.-\frac{4(y\log\frac{x}{y} - x + y)}{y(x-y)^2}m_Q\not{p}_\gamma(\not{p}_Q + m_Q) - \frac{\log\frac{x}{y}}{x-y}(\not{p}_Q + m_Q)\right) \tag{58}$$

The kernel can be obtained by using Eq. (25).

The case of T_a is similar, we find

$$T_b^{(1)\mathrm{ext\ q}} = T_b^{(1)\mathrm{ext\ Q}} = T_b^{(1)\mathrm{box}} = 0 \tag{59}$$



FIG. 5: The 1-loop correction of $F_c^{(1)}$.



FIG. 6: The 1-loop correction of $\Phi^{(1)} \otimes T_c^{(0)}$.

C. corrections of $F_c^{(1)}$ and $\Phi^{(1)} \otimes T_c^{(0)}$ and other corrections

The 1-loop corrections of $F_c^{(0)}$ are show in Fig. 5. We denote Figs. 5 (a), (b) and (c) as $F_c^{(1)\text{ext Q}}$, $F_c^{(1)\text{ext q}}$ and $F_c^{(1)\text{triangle}}$ respectively. And the corrections of the wave-function are shown in Fig. 6. Similar as in Sec. III, we denote QCD 1-loop corrections to the wave-function in Figs. 6 (a)$\sim$(f) as $\Phi_q^{(1)} \otimes T_c^{(0)}$, $\Phi_Q^{(1)} \otimes T_c^{(0)}$, $\Phi_{\text{Wfc}}^{(1)} \otimes T_c^{(0)}$, $\Phi_{\text{ext q}}^{(1)} \otimes T_c^{(0)}$, $\Phi_{\text{ext Q}}^{(1)} \otimes T_c^{(0)}$ and $\Phi_{\text{triangle}}^{(1)} \otimes T_c^{(0)}$ respectively.

After calculating $\Phi_{\text{ext q}}^{(1)} \otimes T_c^{(0)}$, $\Phi_{\text{ext Q}}^{(1)} \otimes T_c^{(0)}$ and $\Phi_{\text{triangle}}^{(1)} \otimes T_c^{(0)}$, the hard kernels we get

are similar to Eqs. (44) and Eq. (59). The results are

$$T_c^{(1)\text{ext q}} = T_c^{(1)\text{ext Q}} = T_c^{(1)\text{triangle}} = 0 \tag{60}$$

$\Phi_q^{(1)} \otimes T_c^{(0)}$, $\Phi_Q^{(1)} \otimes T_c^{(0)}$, $\Phi_{\text{Wfc}}^{(1)} \otimes T_c^{(0)}$ do not have correspondent 1-loop QCD corrections. And because the momentum of quarks appear together as $k_Q + k_{\bar{q}}$ in $T_c^{(0)}$, we find

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial k_Q} - \frac{\partial}{\partial k_{\bar{q}}}\right) T_c^{(0)} = 0 \tag{61}$$

so we find

$$T_c^{(1)\text{q}} = T_c^{(1)\text{Q}} = T_c^{(1)\text{Wfc}} = 0 \tag{62}$$

D. 1-loop result summery

With Eqs. (32), (36), (38), (44), (46), (47), (49), (50), (52), (59), (60) and (62), we can obtain the 1-loop order hard-scattering kernel. We find that, $T^{(1)}$ is IR finite, and the factorization is proved explicitly to any orders of $O(\Lambda_{\text{QCD}} \,/\, m_Q)$.

A remark about the factorization is given here. There may be hard dynamics contained in the wave function defined in Eq. (3) when the one-loop QCD correction to the wave function is considered. Hard scale m_Q can appear in the one-loop QCD corrections to the wave function when the gluon is attached to the heavy quark line. Such dynamics is also subtracted from the hard amplitude by using Eq. (25). Then the one-loop corrected hard amplitude $T^{(1)}$ is not only free from the infrared divergence, but also free from the hard dynamics corresponding to external state. Although there may contain some hard dynamics in the wave function, the wave function is process-independent, i.e., it is universal. In treating meson decays, the wave function of meson should be obtained from nonperturbative method.

Also, we didn't assume the energy of photon E_γ to be large. So we can extend the valid region of factorization in Refs. [12, 16] to $E_\gamma \sim \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^2 / \, m_Q$. In such region, we can investigate the soft photon IR Div in radiative decay.

In the next section, we will investigate both the hard photon region and the soft photon region, and the result will be established up to the sub-leading order.

V. EXPANSION AND LARGE LOGARITHMS

There are large logarithms and double large logarithms in $\Phi^{(0)} \otimes T^{(1)}$. Those large logarithms may break the α_s perturbation. To obtain the reliable result of the decay amplitude, those large logarithms should be resummed [20]. We concentrate on these large logarithms at the leading order of $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}/m_Q$, because those higher order terms are not large and will not affect the α_s expansion.

We concentrate on the contribution of the hard scattering kernel to the amplitude. The amplitude can be obtained by replacing the wave-function with the one obtained in Ref. [21].

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi^{(0)}(k_q,k_Q) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\int d^3k\Psi(k)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_i\left(b_Q^{i+}(\vec{k},\uparrow)d_q^{i+}(-\vec{k},\downarrow)-b_Q^{i+}(\vec{k},\downarrow)d_q^{i+}(-\vec{k},\uparrow)\right)\mid 0\rangle \\ &\times\delta^3(\vec{k}_{\bar{q}}+\vec{k})\delta^3(\vec{k}_Q-\vec{k})\delta(k_{\bar{q}0}-\sqrt{k^2+m_q^2})\delta(k_{Q0}-\sqrt{k^2+m_Q^2})\end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

with

$$\Psi(\vec{k}) = 4\pi\sqrt{m_P\lambda_P^3}e^{-\lambda_P|\vec{k}|} \tag{64}$$

The matrix element can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}F^\mu(\mu) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{3}{\sqrt{6}}\int d^3k\int d^4k_Q\int d^4k_{\bar{q}}\Psi(k)Tr\left[M\cdot\left(T^{(0)\mu}(k_{\bar{q}},k_Q)+T^{(1)\mu}(k_{\bar{q}},k_Q)\right)\right] \\ &\times\delta^3(\vec{k}_{\bar{q}}+\vec{k})\delta^3(\vec{k}_Q-\vec{k})\delta(k_{\bar{q}0}-\sqrt{k^2+m_q^2})\delta(k_{Q0}-\sqrt{k^2+m_Q^2})\end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

with $M = u_Q(\vec{k},\uparrow)\bar{v}_q(-\vec{k},\downarrow)-u_Q(\vec{k},\downarrow)\bar{v}_q(-\vec{k},\uparrow)$ is the notation for Dirac spinner of the meson. The functions $T^{(0)}$ and $T^{(1)}$ are coefficients which are scalars in spinner space multiplied by some simple Dirac structures. So it is natural to write them in the form of products of coefficients and operators. After convolution, F^μ can be written as

$$F^\mu(\mu) = \sum_n\frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{3}{\sqrt{6}}\int d^3k\Psi(k)Tr\left[M\cdot(C_n(p_Q,p_{\bar{q}},\mu)O_n(p_Q,p_{\bar{q}}))\right] \tag{66}$$

with $p_q = (\sqrt{m_q^2+k^2},-\vec{k})$ and $p_Q = (\sqrt{m_Q^2+k^2},\vec{k})$ denote the on-shell momenta of the light anti-quark and the heavy quark in the bound state.

After the convolution, the Dirac structures can be simplified. The matrix element can be decomposed as [12, 22]

$$\langle\gamma\mid\bar{q}\Gamma^\mu Q\mid P\rangle = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\varepsilon^\nu p_P^\rho p_\gamma^\sigma F_V + i\left(\varepsilon^\mu p_P\cdot p_\gamma - p_\gamma^\mu\varepsilon\cdot p_P\right)F_A \tag{67}$$

To calculate the form factors F_A and F_V in Eq. (67), we introduce 6 operators

$$\begin{aligned}
O_1 &= e_q \not{\varepsilon} \frac{\not{p}_\gamma}{(p_\gamma - p_{\bar{q}})^2} P_L^\mu, \;\; O_2 = -e_q \not{\varepsilon} \frac{\not{p}_{\bar{q}}}{(p_\gamma - p_{\bar{q}})^2} P_L^\mu, \\
O_3 &= e_Q P_L^\mu \frac{\not{p}_Q + m_Q}{(p_Q - p_\gamma)^2 - m_Q^2} \not{\varepsilon}, \;\; O_4 = e_Q P_L^\mu \frac{-\not{p}_\gamma}{(p_Q - p_\gamma)^2 - m_Q^2} \not{\varepsilon} \\
O_5 &= e_Q P_L^\mu \frac{m_Q}{(p_Q - p_\gamma)^2 - m_Q^2} \not{\varepsilon} \\
O_6 &= -e \frac{-\not{p}_\gamma \not{\varepsilon}_\gamma^*}{2 p_\gamma \cdot p_l} P_L^\mu + e P_L^\mu \left(-\frac{\varepsilon \cdot p_l}{p_\gamma \cdot p_l} \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

Then we can concentrate on those $C_n O_n$ terms. The large logarithms are related to the power counting and expansion, and the power counting and expansion are different in soft and hard photon region, so we discuss them separately.

A. the hard photon region

When $E_\gamma \sim m_Q$, keeping only the leading order and the sub-leading order terms, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
C_1^0 = 1 - \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{4\pi} \Bigg(& 6 + \frac{\pi^2}{3} + \frac{y - 2x}{x} \log \frac{x}{y} - 2\mathrm{Li}_2 \frac{y}{x} - \log^2 \frac{x}{z} + \log \frac{x}{z} \\
& + 2 \log \frac{x}{y} \log \frac{x - y}{z} - \log \frac{z}{\mu^2} \Bigg)
\end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_1^1 = &-\frac{\alpha_s C_F}{4\pi}\left(\frac{2}{y^2}\left(\mathrm{Li}_2(\frac{y}{x})(-2wy+2xz\log\frac{y}{x}+8xz+yz)-4xz\mathrm{Li}_3(\frac{y}{x})\right.\right.\\
&\left.+(4xz-2wy)\log\frac{x}{y}(\log\frac{x}{z}-2\log\frac{x-y}{y})-2xz\zeta(3)\right)+\frac{2wy}{(x-y)^2}\left(5\log\frac{y}{x}+6\log\frac{y}{z}\right)\\
&-\frac{2wx}{y(x-y)}\left(-\frac{(2x-y)(xz-wy)}{2wx^2}-2\log\frac{x^2z}{y^3}+\log\frac{x}{y}\log\frac{z(x-y)^2}{xy^2}-\frac{6x\log\frac{y^2}{xz}}{x-y}-\frac{5\pi^2}{6}+4\right)\\
&-\frac{1}{x(x-y)}\left(wy\left(1-\frac{x\log\frac{x^7z^4}{y^{11}}}{x-y}\right)+wx\left(\frac{x\left(4\log\frac{x^2z}{y^3}-26\log\frac{x}{y}-24\log\frac{z}{y}\right)}{x-y}\right.\right.\\
&\left.\left.+2\log\frac{x}{y}\left(2\log\frac{y}{x-y}+\log\frac{x}{z}\right)-2\log\frac{x}{y}+\frac{5\pi^2}{3}-7\right)\right)\\
&-\frac{2x^2z}{y^2(x-y)}\left(\frac{1}{3}\pi^2\log\frac{xy^2}{z^3}-\log\frac{y}{z}\left(\log^2\frac{x}{z}-2\log\frac{xz}{y^2}\right)+\frac{(6x-8y)\log\frac{y^2}{xz}}{x-y}+\frac{1}{3}\log^3\frac{x}{z}+\frac{4}{3}\log^3\frac{y}{z}\right.\\
&\left.+\frac{7\pi^2}{3}-6-\frac{y}{x-y}\left(-11\log\frac{x}{y}-10\log\frac{z}{y}+\frac{10\pi^2}{3}-8\right)\right)\\
&-\frac{z}{x-y}\left(2\log\frac{y}{x-y}\log\frac{x}{y}+\log^2\frac{x}{z}-2\log^2\frac{y}{z}+5\log\frac{z}{y}-\frac{4\pi^2}{3}+2\right.\\
&\left.-\frac{2x\left(9\log\frac{x}{y}+10\log\frac{z}{y}-\frac{10\pi^2}{3}+8\right)}{x-y}\right)\\
&+\frac{xz}{y(x-y)}\left(\frac{x\log\frac{x^3z^4}{y^7}}{x-y}-2\log\frac{x}{y}\log\frac{z^2(x-y)}{y^3}+\frac{2\pi^2}{3}\log\frac{xy^2}{z^3}-2\log^2\frac{x}{z}\log\frac{y}{z}+\frac{2}{3}\log^3\frac{x}{z}\right.\\
&\left.\left.+\log^2\frac{x}{z}+15\log\frac{x}{z}+\frac{8}{3}\log^3\frac{y}{z}-6\log^2\frac{y}{z}-28\log\frac{y}{z}-\frac{10\pi^2}{3}+10\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
\tag{70}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_2^1 = 1+\frac{\alpha_s C_F}{4\pi}&\left(6\mathrm{Li}_2(\frac{y}{x})+2\log\frac{y}{z}\log\frac{x^2}{yz}+5\log\frac{xz}{y^2}+\frac{y\log\frac{x}{y}}{x-y}+3\log\frac{xy}{(x-y)^2}\log\frac{x}{y}\right.\\
&\left.-2\pi^2+\log\frac{z}{\mu^2}-1\right)
\end{aligned}
\tag{71}
$$

$$
C_3 = 0 \tag{72}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_4^1 = 1 + \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{4\pi}\Bigg(& -15 + \frac{4y}{x-y} + \frac{6x\log\frac{x}{\mu^2} - 2x}{y} + 3\log\frac{y}{\mu^2} \\
& - \left(2 - \frac{2x\,(4x^2 - 7xy + 2y^2)}{y(x-y)^2}\right)\mathrm{Li}_2(1-\frac{x}{y}) - (4x-2y)d_1 \\
& + \mathrm{Li}_2(1-\frac{y}{x})\left(\frac{x\,(12x^3 - 40x^2y + 44xy^2 - 17y^3)}{y^2(x-y)^2} - \frac{(4x(2x-y))\log\frac{x}{y}}{y^2}\right) \\
& + \frac{(4x(2x-y))\left(-\mathrm{Li}_3(1-\frac{y}{x}) + \mathrm{Li}_3(\frac{y}{x}) + \zeta(3)\right)}{y^2} \\
& + \left(\frac{x\,(4x^2 - 27xy + 18y^2)}{y(x-y)^2} + \frac{2\pi^2 x(2x-y)}{3y^2} - 4\right)\log\frac{x}{y} \\
& + \frac{2\pi^2 x(4y-3x)}{3y^2} + \left(\frac{2x(2x-y)\log\frac{x-y}{x}}{y^2} - \frac{3xy}{2(x-y)^2}\right)\log^2\frac{x}{y}\Bigg)
\end{aligned}
\tag{73}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_5^1 = \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{4\pi}\Bigg(& -3\log\frac{y}{\mu^2} + 6 + \left(\frac{3y^2}{2(x-y)^2} - \frac{2x\log\frac{x-y}{x}}{y}\right)\log^2\frac{x}{y} - \frac{x+3y}{x-y} \\
& + 2yd_1 + \frac{(6xy - 4x^2)\,\mathrm{Li}_2(1-\frac{x}{y})}{(x-y)^2} + \mathrm{Li}_2(1-\frac{y}{x})\left(\frac{-6x^3 + 14x^2y - 10xy^2 + 3y^3}{y(x-y)^2} + \frac{4x\log\frac{x}{y}}{y}\right) \\
& - \frac{4x\left(-\mathrm{Li}_3(1-\frac{y}{x}) + \mathrm{Li}_3(\frac{y}{x}) + \zeta(3)\right)}{y} + \frac{\pi^2\,(3x^2 - 4xy + y^2)}{3y(x-y)} \\
& + \left(\frac{-5x^2 + 8xy + 2y^2}{(x-y)^2} - \frac{2\pi^2 x}{3y}\right)\log\frac{x}{y}\Bigg)
\end{aligned}
\tag{74}
$$

$$
C_6 = 1 \tag{75}
$$

where d_1 is defined in Eq. (53). Except for C_6, the other C_i^j's denote the coefficients for O_i's, and the products are at $O(\Lambda_{\rm QCD}\,/m_Q)^j$ order. It is now clear that the coefficient with large logarithm at order $O(1)$ is C_1^0. And the counter term of C_1^0 at the leading order is

$$
Z_{C_1^0} = 1 + \frac{\alpha_s(\mu) C_F}{4\pi}\frac{2}{\epsilon} \tag{76}
$$

which leads to the group function (the anomalous dimension of the operator O_1 at the order of $O(\Lambda_{\rm QCD}/m_Q)^0$)

$$
\gamma_{C_1^0} = -\frac{\alpha_s(\mu) C_F}{2\pi} \tag{77}
$$

The effective field theory (EFT) is often used to resume the large logarithms [10, 12]. In general, the coefficient can be written as

$$
C(\mu) = H(\mu) \times J(\mu) \tag{78}
$$

with H known as the hard-function, and J known as the jet function. Inspired by the idea of resummation using an EFT, we find we can separate the coefficient as a product of coefficients at different scale, as

$$C_1^0(\mu) = H(\mu) \times J_1(\mu) \times J_2(\mu) \tag{79}$$

with $H(\mu)$ is the hard-function and $J(\mu) = J_1(\mu) \times J_2(\mu)$ is the jet function. We find the RGE of $C(\mu)$

$$\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} C(\mu) = \gamma_C C(\mu) \tag{80}$$

becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} H(\mu) &= \gamma_H H(\mu) \\ \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} J_1(\mu) &= \gamma_{J_1} J_1(\mu) \\ \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} J_2(\mu) &= \gamma_{J_2} J_2(\mu) \end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

with

$$\gamma_C = \gamma_H + \gamma_{J_1} + \gamma_{J_2} \tag{82}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\gamma_H = -\frac{\alpha_s(\mu) C_F}{2\pi} \left(-1 - \log \frac{z}{x}\right), \quad \gamma_{J_1} = -\frac{\alpha_s(\mu) C_F}{2\pi} \left(1 - \log \frac{x}{z}\right) \\ &\gamma_{J_2} = -\frac{\alpha_s(\mu) C_F}{2\pi} \end{aligned} \tag{83}$$

We do not need the explicit form of $H(\mu)$ and $J_1(\mu)$. We can assume $H(\mu)$ is at scale m_Q, and $J_1(\mu)$ is at scale $x/y\,\sqrt{z}$, with $x/y\,\sqrt{z} \geq \sqrt{z} \sim \sqrt{m_Q \Lambda_{\rm QCD}}$, and let

$$H(m_Q) = 1, \quad J_1(\frac{x}{y}\sqrt{z}) = 1 \tag{84}$$

With Eqs. (81), (83) and (84), we solve the RGE evaluation of H and J_1, the results are

$$\frac{H(\mu)}{H(m_Q)} = \left(\frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{\alpha_s(m_Q)}\right)^{\frac{C_F}{\beta_0}\left(-1-\log\frac{z}{x}\right)} = 1 - \frac{\alpha_s(m_Q) C_f}{4\pi} \left(-\log\frac{\mu^2}{x} - \log\frac{\mu^2}{x}\log\frac{z}{x}\right) + O(\alpha_s^2) \tag{85}$$

$$\frac{J_1(\mu)}{J_1(\frac{x}{y}\sqrt{z})}=\left(\frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{\alpha_s(\frac{x}{y}\sqrt{z})}\right)^{\frac{C_F}{\beta_0}\left(1-\log\frac{x}{z}\right)}=1-\frac{\alpha_s(\frac{x}{y}\sqrt{z})C_F}{4\pi}\left(\log\frac{\mu^2y^2}{x^2z}-\log\frac{\mu^2y^2}{x^2z}\log\frac{x}{z}\right)+O(\alpha_s^2) \tag{86}$$

where $\beta_0 = 11\ /3\ C_A - 2\ /3\ n_f$.

Compare them with C_1^0, we find J_2 can be defined as

$$J_2(\mu)=1-\frac{\alpha_s(\mu)C_F}{4\pi}\left(6+\frac{\pi^2}{3}+\frac{y}{x}\log\frac{x}{y}-\log\frac{z}{\mu^2}-2\mathrm{Li}_2\frac{y}{x}+2\log\frac{x}{y}\log\frac{x-y}{x}\right) \tag{87}$$

Then the resummed C_1^0 can be written as

$$C_{1r}^0(\mu)=\left(\frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{\alpha_s(m_Q)}\right)^{\frac{C_F}{\beta_0}\left(-1-\log\frac{z}{x}\right)}\times\left(\frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{\alpha_s(\frac{x}{y}\sqrt{z})}\right)^{\frac{C_F}{\beta_0}\left(1-\log\frac{x}{z}\right)}\times J_2(\mu) \tag{88}$$

where C_{1r}^0 denotes the resummed C_1^0. There is no longer large logarithms in $J_2(\mu)$ when $\mu=\sqrt{z}\sim\sqrt{m_Q\Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}}$. And

$$C_{1r}^0(\sqrt{z})=C_1^0(\sqrt{z})+O(\alpha_s^2) \tag{89}$$

which means that, if the resummed result of the coefficient C_{1r}^0 is expanded to the leading order at scale $\mu=\sqrt{z}$, it will go back to the coefficient C_1^0 as expected.

We also notice that, when E_γ becomes smaller, for example, when $E_\gamma\sim\sqrt{m_Q\Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}}$, $C_{1r}^0(\mu)$ can still correctly resume all the large logarithms in the leading order in $C_1^0(\mu)$ because all the remaining $\log(x/y)$ terms will go to sub-leading order.

B. the soft photon region

In soft photon region that $E_\gamma\sim\Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}^2\ /m_Q$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}C_1^1=1-\frac{\alpha_sC_F}{4\pi}\Bigg(&12-\frac{8\pi^2}{3}-\log\frac{z}{\mu^2}-5\log\frac{w^2}{xz}+\log^2\frac{w^2}{xz}\\&+\frac{2xz}{wy}\left(-5+3\pi^2+5\log\frac{w^2}{xz}+2\log\frac{z}{w}\log\frac{w^2}{xz}\right)\\&+2\pi i\left(2\log\frac{xz}{w^2}+5+\frac{2xz}{wy}(-2\log\frac{xz}{w^2}-5+\log\frac{x}{z})\right)\Bigg)\end{aligned} \tag{90}$$

$$C_2^0=1+\frac{\alpha_sC_F}{4\pi}\left(-7+\log\frac{z}{\mu^2}-\frac{\pi^2}{3}+\log\frac{z}{x}\log\frac{xz}{w^2}-\log\frac{xz}{w^2}+2\pi i\left(-1-\log\frac{x}{z}\right)\right) \tag{91}$$

$$C_2^1 = \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{4\pi}\left(\frac{4w\log\frac{w}{x}}{x} + \frac{y}{w}\left(-2\log\frac{w}{z}\log\frac{w^2}{xz} + 8\log\frac{w^2}{xz} + 4\log\frac{z}{w} + 3\pi^2 - 8\right)\right.$$
$$+\frac{xz}{w^2}\left(\left(\frac{2}{3}\log\frac{w}{x}\log\frac{x}{z} + 2\log\frac{w}{x} + \frac{4}{3}\log^2\frac{w}{z} - 3\log\frac{x}{z} - 12\right)\log\frac{w^2}{xz}\right.$$
$$+\frac{\pi^2}{3}\left(2\log\frac{x}{w} + 18\log\frac{z}{w}\right) + 4\zeta(3) - \frac{4\pi^2}{3} + 2\Big)$$
$$\left.+2\pi i\left(-\frac{y\left(\log\frac{xz^3}{w^4} + 6\right)}{w} - \frac{xz\left(4\log\frac{w}{x} + 4\log^2\frac{w}{z} - \log^2\frac{x}{z} + 2\log\frac{z}{x} - \frac{2\pi^2}{3} - 12\right)}{w^2} - \frac{2w}{x}\right)\right) \tag{92}$$

$$C_3^0 = \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{4\pi}\left(-\frac{2x}{y}\left(4 - 3\log\frac{x}{\mu^2}\right)\right) \tag{93}$$

$$C_3^1 = 1 + \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{4\pi}\left(-7 + 3\log\frac{x}{\mu^2} - 10\log\frac{x}{y}\right) \tag{94}$$

$$C_4^1 = \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{4\pi}\left(-\frac{2x}{y}\left(4 - 3\log\frac{x}{\mu^2}\right)\right) \tag{95}$$

$$C_5^0 = \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{4\pi}\left(4 - 3\log\frac{x}{\mu^2}\right) \tag{96}$$

$$C_6 = 1 \tag{97}$$

Then we concentrate on the resummation of the large logarithms in C_2^0. The anomalous dimension for O_2 at leading order is found to be

$$\gamma_{C_2^0} = -\frac{\alpha_s(\mu) C_F}{2\pi} \tag{98}$$

Similar as the hard photon case, we assume

$$C_2^0(\mu) = h(\mu) \times j_1(\mu) \times j_2(\mu) \tag{99}$$

and the scales are

$$\begin{aligned} &h(\sqrt{\frac{w^3}{xz}}) = 1, \quad j_1(\sqrt{w}) = 1 \\ &\sqrt{\frac{w^3}{xz}} \sim m_Q, \quad \sqrt{w} \sim \sqrt{m_Q \Lambda_{\rm QCD}} \end{aligned} \tag{100}$$

Similar to Eq. (82), we separate the anomalous dimension as

$$\begin{aligned} &\gamma_h = \frac{\alpha_s(\mu) C_F}{2\pi}\left(1 - \log\frac{x}{z} + 6\pi i)\right), \quad \gamma_{j_1} = \frac{\alpha_s(\mu) C_F}{2\pi}\left(-1 + \log\frac{x}{z} - 6\pi i\right) \\ &\gamma_{j_2} = -\frac{\alpha_s(\mu) C_F}{2\pi} \end{aligned} \tag{101}$$

The RGE evaluation of $h(\mu)$ is found to be

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{h(\mu)}{h(\sqrt{\frac{w^3}{xz}})} &= \left(\frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{\alpha_s(\sqrt{\frac{w^3}{xz}})}\right)^{-\frac{C_F}{\beta_0}\left(1-\log\frac{x}{z}+6\pi i\right)} \\ &= 1+\frac{\alpha_s(\sqrt{\frac{w^3}{xz}})C_F}{4\pi}\left((1+6\pi i)\log\frac{\mu^2 xz}{w^3}+\log\frac{\mu^2 xz}{w^3}\log\frac{z}{x}\right)+O(\alpha_s^2)\end{aligned} \tag{102}$$

and the result of $j_1(\mu)$ is

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{j_1(\mu)}{j_1(\sqrt{w})} &= \left(\frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{\alpha_s(\sqrt{w})}\right)^{-\frac{C_F}{\beta_0}\left(-1-\log\frac{z}{x}-6\pi i\right)} \\ &= 1+\frac{\alpha_s(\sqrt{w})C_F}{4\pi}\left((-1-6\pi i)\log\frac{\mu^2}{w}-\log\frac{\mu^2}{w}\log\frac{z}{x}\right)+O(\alpha_s^2)\end{aligned} \tag{103}$$

and j_2 can be obtained as

$$j_2 = 1+\frac{\alpha_s(\mu)C_F}{4\pi}\left(-7-\frac{\pi^2}{3}+\log\frac{w^4 y}{x^3 z^2}-\log\frac{\mu^2 y}{xz}+2\pi i(-1+2\log\frac{w^3}{x^2 z})\right) \tag{104}$$

where one can see that the scale of j_2 is at $\sqrt{xz/y}\sim\sqrt{m_Q\Lambda_{\rm QCD}}$. At this scale, all the logarithm terms are small.

The resummed $C_{2r}^0(\mu)$ is

$$C_{2r}^0(\mu) = \left(\frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{\alpha_s(\sqrt{\frac{wy}{z}})}\right)^{-\frac{C_F}{\beta_0}\left(1-\log\frac{x}{z}+6\pi i\right)}\times\left(\frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{\alpha_s(\sqrt{w})}\right)^{-\frac{C_F}{\beta_0}\left(-1-\log\frac{z}{x}-6\pi i\right)}\times j_2(\mu) \tag{105}$$

and then evaluate $h(\mu)$ and $j_1(\mu)$ to $\sqrt{xz/y}$, considering $\sqrt{w}\geq\sqrt{xz/y}\sim\sqrt{m_Q\Lambda_{\rm QCD}}$, we find

$$C_{2r}^0(\sqrt{\frac{xz}{y}}) = C_2^0(\sqrt{\frac{xz}{y}})+O(\alpha_s^2) \tag{106}$$

The remaining γ_{j_2} can reproduce the $\log(\mu^2 y/xz)$ term in $j_2(\mu)$. Notice that, the scale $\sqrt{xz/y}$ does not depend on E_γ. And the factorization scale for the case of the photon being soft is at $\sqrt{m_Q\Lambda_{\rm QCD}}$.

C. the other large terms in the soft photon region

Apart from the large logarithms in the weak vertex correction, there are also large terms in C_3, C_4 and C_5, all with the form

$$3\log\frac{x}{\mu^2}-4 \tag{107}$$

They all come from $F_b^{(1)\mathrm{Wfc}}$, which implies that the relevant scale is at

$$\mu = \frac{m_Q}{e^{\frac{2}{3}}} \approx \frac{m_Q}{2} \tag{108}$$

We will use this scale in the numerical calculation.

VI. NUMERICAL RESULTS

The contribution of Fig. 1.c depends on not only E_γ but also on p_ν and p_l. For simplicity, we treat this term separately, then the form factors are defined as

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle\gamma \mid \bar{q}\Gamma^\mu Q \mid P\rangle + F_c p_P^\mu = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\varepsilon^\nu p_P^\rho p_\gamma^\sigma (F_V + F_c) \\ &+ i\left(\varepsilon^\mu p_P\cdot p_\gamma - p_\gamma^\mu \varepsilon\cdot p_P\right)(F_A + F_c) + 2(p_l\cdot\varepsilon_\gamma)F_c p_P^\mu\end{aligned} \tag{109}$$

When $E_\gamma \sim m_Q$, up to sub-leading order, we find

$$\begin{aligned}F_V &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{3}{\sqrt{6}}\int d^3k\Psi(k)\frac{1}{2\sqrt{p_{q0}p_{Q0}(p_{q0}+m_q)(p_{Q0}+m_Q)}}\frac{1}{m_P E_\gamma} \\ &\times\left(e_q(2m_Q - p_{q0})C_1 + 2e_Q(p_{q0}-p_{q3})C_4 + e_Q\frac{2m_Q p_{q3}}{E_\gamma}C_5\right) \\ F_A &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{3}{\sqrt{6}}\int d^3k\Psi(k)\frac{1}{2\sqrt{p_{q0}p_{Q0}(p_{q0}+m_q)(p_{Q0}+m_Q)}}\frac{1}{m_P E_\gamma} \\ &\times\left(e_q(2m_Q - p_{q0})C_1 - e_q\frac{2m_Q(p_{q0}-p_{q3})}{E_\gamma}C_2 - 2e_Q(p_{q0}-p_{q3})C_4 - e_Q\frac{2m_Q p_{q0}}{E_\gamma}C_5\right)\end{aligned} \tag{110}$$

When $E_\gamma \sim \Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}^2/m_Q$, up to sub-leading order, we find

$$\begin{aligned}F_V &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{3}{\sqrt{6}}\int d^3k\Psi(k)\frac{1}{2\sqrt{p_{q0}p_{Q0}(p_{q0}+m_q)(p_{Q0}+m_Q)}}\frac{1}{m_P E_\gamma} \\ &\times(2e_q m_Q C_1) \\ F_A &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{3}{\sqrt{6}}\int d^3k\Psi(k)\frac{1}{2\sqrt{p_{q0}p_{Q0}(p_{q0}+m_q)(p_{Q0}+m_Q)}}\frac{1}{m_P E_\gamma} \\ &\times\left(2e_q m_Q C_1 - e_q\frac{(2m_Q - p_{q0})(p_{q0}-p_{q3})}{E_\gamma}C_2 - e_Q\frac{2(p_{q0}^2 - p_{q3}^2)}{E_\gamma}C_3\right)\end{aligned} \tag{111}$$

In the numerical calculation, the values of parameters we take are [21]

$$\begin{aligned}&m_D = 1.9\ \mathrm{GeV},\ m_B = 5.1\ \mathrm{GeV},\ m_u = m_d = 0.08\ \mathrm{GeV},\ m_b = 4.98\ \mathrm{GeV},\ m_c = 1.54\ \mathrm{GeV} \\ &\Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}} = 200\ \mathrm{MeV},\ \lambda_B = 2.8\ \mathrm{GeV}^{-1},\ \lambda_D = 3.4\ \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}\end{aligned} \tag{112}$$



FIG. 7: Form factors of $B \to \gamma e \nu_e$. The solid line is for the 1-loop result at the leading order of $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}/m_Q$. The dotted line is for the result of F_V, while the dashed line is the result of F_A.

For B meson, and for the hard photon region, we calculate the numerical results of form factors with $E_\gamma > m_Q\ /\ 4$. The result is shown in Fig. 7. Comparing with Fig. 7 in Ref. [16], we find that, at the large E_γ region, the numerical result of the form factor dose not change very much. Both F_A and F_V only increase slightly.

In the soft photon region, the form factors at leading order is very different from the hard photon region even at tree level. In the soft photon region, F_A will receive $O\left(1/E_\gamma\right)$ contribution, while F_V is at the sub-leading order $O(1/\ \Lambda_{\rm QCD})$. The relation $F_A = F_V$ [23] is broken at leading order. So we investigate the tree level result at first. The numerical results of the form factors are inconvenient to use when calculate the decay widths. For simplicity, we use some simple forms to fit the numerical results, inspired by the form factors given in Ref. [24], the form factors are fitted as

$$F = \left(A\frac{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}}{E_\gamma} + B\left(\frac{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}}{E_\gamma}\right)^2 \right) \tag{113}$$



FIG. 8: Fit of the form factors of $B \to \gamma e \nu_e$ at tree level. The '$\times$' points and the dotted line are for $|F_A|$, while the solid line and the '+' points are for F_V. F_A will go from positive to negative, and therefor not possible to show in a log scale figure, so we show $|F_A|$ instead.

The fitted result of the tree level form factors is shown in Fig. 8, which can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} F_{A\,tree}^{B\to\gamma l\nu}(E_\gamma) &= \left(0.50\frac{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}}{E_\gamma} - 1.97\left(\frac{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}}{E_\gamma}\right)^2\right) \\ F_{V\,tree}^{B\to\gamma l\nu}(E_\gamma) &= \left(0.28\frac{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}}{E_\gamma} + 0.032\left(\frac{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}}{E_\gamma}\right)^2\right) \end{aligned} \tag{114}$$

In the calculation, we treat the 3-momentum of the light-quark k as small quantity, i.e. $k \sim \Lambda_{\rm QCD}$. For convenience, we use the average value of the light-quark momentum $\langle k\rangle$ in the meson as a small scale instead of $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$. In the soft photon region, we calculate the form factors at the region $\langle k\rangle^2/m_Q > E_\gamma > 2\langle k\rangle^2/m_Q$. Using the wave function given in Eq. (64), we find, for B meson, $\langle k\rangle = 0.357$ GeV.

The result that F_A will go from positive to negative when E_γ become small can also been found in Eq. (2.9) of Ref. [15], because the signs of the $1/E_\gamma^2$ term and $1/E_\gamma$ terms are



FIG. 9: Fit of the form factors of $B \to \gamma e \nu_e$ at 1-loop level. The '$\times$' points and the dotted line are for $|F_A|$, while the solid line and the '+' points are for $|F_A|$. $\mathcal{R}e(F_A)$ will go from positive to negative, and therefor not possible to show in a log scale figure, so we show $|\mathcal{R}e(F_A)|$ instead. The dashed line and the 'o' points are for $|\mathcal{R}e(F_A)|$.

different in F_A.

To calculate the decay width, we need the result of $|F_A|$, $|F_B|$ and $\mathcal{R}e(F_A)$. The fit of the form factors of B meson at one-loop level is shown in Fig. 9, and the fitted results can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
|F_A^{B\to\gamma l\nu}(E_\gamma)| &= \left(0.14\frac{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}}{E_\gamma} + 2.21\left(\frac{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}}{E_\gamma}\right)^2\right) \\
|F_V^{B\to\gamma l\nu}(E_\gamma)| &= \left(0.42\frac{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}}{E_\gamma} + 0.032\left(\frac{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}}{E_\gamma}\right)^2\right) \\
\mathcal{R}e(F_A^{B\to\gamma l\nu}(E_\gamma)) &= \left(0.56\frac{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}}{E_\gamma} - 1.71\left(\frac{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}}{E_\gamma}\right)^2\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{115}$$

On the other hand, F_c can be related to the decay constant [21, 24] by

$$F_c p_P^\mu = \frac{1}{p_l \cdot p_\gamma}\langle 0|\bar{q}\gamma_\mu(1-\gamma_5)Q|P\rangle = \frac{-f_P p_P^\mu}{p_l \cdot p_\gamma} \tag{116}$$

Using the fitted results of F_A, F_V and F_c, and using the Cabibbo - Kobayashi - Maskawa (CKM) matrix elements [25] and the decay constant f_B [21] as following

$$f_B = 0.194 \text{ GeV}^{-1},\ V_{\text{ub}} = 0.00413 \tag{117}$$

we can obtain the branching ratios.

There are IR divergences in the radiative leptonic decays in the case that the photon is soft or the photon is collinear with the emitted lepton. Theoretically this IR divergences can be canceled by adding the decay rate of the radiative leptonic decay with the pure leptonic decay rate with one-loop virtual photon correction [26]. The radiative leptonic decay can not be distinguished from the pure leptonic decay in experiment when the photon energy is smaller than the experimental resolution to the photon energy. So the decay rate of the radiative leptonic decay depend on the experimental resolution to the photon energy which is denoted by ΔE_γ.

Using $\Delta E_\gamma = 10$MeV [27], we obtain the branch ratios of $B \to \gamma e \nu_e$. We also calculate the tree level result neglecting the contribution of orders Λ_{QCD} / E_γ and Λ_{QCD} / m_Q for comparison, both the tree level and up-to one-loop level results of the branching ratios are given below

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{Br}_{\left(\frac{\alpha_s \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}{E_\gamma}\right)^0}(B \to \gamma e \nu_e) = 1.73 \times 10^{-6} \\
&\text{Br}_{\alpha_s^0}(B \to \gamma e \nu_e) = 2.51 \times 10^{-6} \\
&\text{Br}_{\left(\frac{\alpha_s \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}{E_\gamma}\right)^1}(B \to \gamma e \nu_e) = 5.21 \times 10^{-6}
\end{aligned} \tag{118}$$

where $\text{Br}_{\left(\frac{\alpha_s \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}{E_\gamma}\right)^0}$ is the tree level result calculated by neglecting the contribution of orders Λ_{QCD} / E_γ and Λ_{QCD} / m_Q, $\text{Br}_{\alpha_s^0}(B \to \gamma e \nu_e)$ is the tree level result including those contribution and $\text{Br}_{\left(\frac{\alpha_s \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}{E_\gamma}\right)^1}$ is the one-loop result. From Eq. (118), one can find that, both at tree and one-loop level, the branching ratio increases largely after including the soft-photon contribution. This result implies the soft photon region could be very important for B mesons even the mass of b quark is very large.

The dependence of the branching ratios at 1-loop level on the resolution of photon energy is shown in Fig. 10 and Table I. The search for the radiative leptonic decay $B^+ \to \ell^+ \nu_\ell \gamma$ was performed by *BABAR* Collaboration in 2009 [28] and Belle Collaboration in 2015 [29]. The upper limit for the branching ratio of the radiative leptonic decay was presented. The



FIG. 10: The branching ratio of $B \to \gamma e \nu_e$ at 1-loop level as a function of the resolution of photon energy ΔE_γ.

upper limit given by *BABAR* collaboration is $BR(B \to e\nu_e\gamma) < 17 \times 10^{-6}$ for the photon threshold energy $E_\gamma > 20$MeV [28], while the result of Belle Collaboration is $BR(B \to e\nu_e\gamma) < 6.1 \times 10^{-6}$ for the photon threshold $E_\gamma > 1$GeV, and $BR(B \to e\nu_e\gamma) < 9.3 \times 10^{-6}$ for the photon threshold $E_\gamma > 400$MeV [29]. Compared with the experimental upper limit, our results given in Fig. 10 and Table I are consistent with the experimental data.

ΔE_γ	$BR(B \to e\nu_e\gamma)$	ΔE_γ	$BR(B \to e\nu_e\gamma)$
5MeV	5.81×10^{-6}	20MeV	4.61×10^{-6}
10MeV	5.21×10^{-6}	25MeV	4.42×10^{-6}
15MeV	4.86×10^{-6}	30MeV	4.26×10^{-6}

TABLE I: The branching ratios of $B \to \gamma e \nu_e$ at 1-loop level with different photon resolution ΔE_γ.

For D mesons we find $\langle k \rangle = 0.294$ GeV, and the energy of photon is $0 \leq E_\gamma \leq m_Q/2 = 0.77$GeV. $E_{\gamma\,\mathrm{Max}}$ is only less then 3 times of $\langle k \rangle$. So, in the hard photon region, we calculate



FIG. 11: Fit of the form factors of $D \to \gamma e \nu_e$ at 1-loop level. The '×' points and the dotted line are for $|F_A|$ while the solid line and the '+' points are for $|F_A|$. $\mathcal{R}e(F_A)$ will go from positive to negative, and therefor not possible to show in a log scale figure, so we show $|\mathcal{R}e(F_A)|$ instead. The dashed line and the 'o' points are for $|\mathcal{R}e(F_A)|$.

the numerical results with $E_\gamma > m_Q \ / \ 2 - \Lambda_{\rm QCD}$, and in the soft photon region, we calculate in the region $\langle k \rangle^2 / m_Q > E_\gamma > 2\langle k \rangle^2 / m_Q$.

The fit of the form factors of $D \to \gamma e \nu_e$ at 1-loop level are shown in Fig. 11, and the fitted results can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} |F_A^{D\to\gamma l\nu}(E_\gamma)| &= \left(0.071 \frac{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}}{E_\gamma} + 0.33 \left(\frac{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}}{E_\gamma} \right)^2 \right) \\ |F_V^{D\to\gamma l\nu}(E_\gamma)| &= \left(0.19 \frac{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}}{E_\gamma} - 0.011 \left(\frac{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}}{E_\gamma} \right)^2 \right) \\ \mathcal{R}e(F_A^{D\to\gamma l\nu}(E_\gamma)) &= \left(0.14 \frac{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}}{E_\gamma} - 0.075 \left(\frac{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}}{E_\gamma} \right)^2 \right) \end{aligned} \tag{119}$$

Using [21, 25]

$$f_D = 0.205 \text{ GeV}^{-1}, \ V_{\rm cd} = 0.225 \tag{120}$$

We obtain the branching ratios of $D \to \gamma e \nu_e$, and the branching ratios given with the dependence on ΔE_γ are shown in Table. II.

ΔE_γ	$BR(D \to e\nu_e\gamma)$	ΔE_γ	$BR(D \to e\nu_e\gamma)$
5MeV	2.03×10^{-5}	20MeV	1.80×10^{-5}
10MeV	1.92×10^{-5}	25MeV	1.76×10^{-5}
15MeV	1.85×10^{-5}	30MeV	1.73×10^{-5}

TABLE II: The branching ratios of $D \to \gamma e \nu_e$ at 1-loop level with different photon resolution ΔE_γ.

We find that, for D mesons, the soft photon region is also very important. Compared with the tree level result of Ref. [16] where the contribution of order $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$ / E_γ is neglected, the branching ratio is increased about 2 times. However, the enhancement is not so large compared to the B meson case. We find that it is because of the contribution of C_3O_3 in Eq. (111). C_3O_3 is a $\Lambda^2_{\rm QCD}/E_\gamma m_Q$ order contribution, while the contribution of C_2O_2 is at the order of $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}/E_\gamma$. For B meson, the mass of b quark is very large, so the contribution of C_3O_3 compared to C_2O_2 is small. However, for D meson, with the charge of the quark, we find

$$\left| e_Q \frac{\langle k \rangle^2}{m_Q E_\gamma} \right| : \left| e_q \frac{\langle k \rangle}{E_\gamma} \right| \sim 39\% \tag{121}$$

As a result, the $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}/E_\gamma$ contribution could be canceled a lot by C_3O_3 which is relatively a $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}/m_Q$ order contribution.

VII. SUMMARY

In this paper, we study the factorization of the radiative leptonic decays of B^- and D^- mesons. Compared with the work in Ref. [16], the factorization is extended to include the $O(\Lambda_{\rm QCD}/E_\gamma)$ contributions. The factorization is proved at 1-loop order, and the IR divergences are found to be absorbed into the wave-function at any order of $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}/m_Q$ explicitly. The hard kernel at order $O(\alpha_s)$ is obtained. We list the hard kernel at order $O(\alpha_s \Lambda_{\rm QCD}/m_Q)$ explicitly in both the soft photon region and the hard photon region. We use the wave function obtained in Ref. [21] to calculate the numerical results. The branching ratio of $B^- \to \gamma e \bar{\nu}$ is found to be at the order of 5.21×10^{-6}, which is a little larger then

the previous works [11, 24, 30–32]. The results for the D mesons radiative leptonic decay in the previous works are in the range from 10^{-3} to 10^{-6}, our results is about 1.92×10^{-5}.

We also find that the contribution of the soft photon region is very important especially for B meson, the branching ratio is increased to be about 3 times of the result in Ref. [16], which is obtained using factorization and including $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}\,/\,m_Q$ contributions but treating $E_\gamma \sim m_Q$. This result implies the importance of $O(\Lambda_{\rm QCD}\,/E_\gamma\,)$ contributions, which increases the branching ratios at the soft photon region no matter how large the heavy quark mass is. For $D \to \gamma e \nu_e$, we find the $O(\Lambda_{\rm QCD}\,/E_\gamma\,)$ contribution is also very important, but not as important as the B meson decay.

Appendix A: the details of $\Phi_{\bar{q}}^{(1)} \otimes T^{(0)}$ and $\Phi_Q^{(1)} \otimes T^{(0)}$

The calculation of $\Phi_q^{(1)} \otimes T_a^{(0)}$, $\Phi_q^{(1)} \otimes T_b^{(0)}$, $\Phi_Q^{(1)} \otimes T_a^{(0)}$ and $\Phi_Q^{(1)} \otimes T_b^{(0)}$ are similar as each other. There are collinear IR divergences in $F_a^{(1)\rm EM}$ and $F_b^{(1)\rm Weak}$ which are canceled by $\Phi_q^{(1)} \otimes T_a^{(0)}$ and $\Phi_q^{(1)} \otimes T_b^{(0)}$. The IR Div in $F_a^{(1)\rm EM}$ can be canceled to all orders, which has been proved in Ref. [16]. On the other hand, the IR Div in $F_b^{(1)\rm Weak}$ becomes sub-leading order when E_γ is small, which is new, and can not be canceled if we use $T_a^{(0)}$ in Ref. [16]. So the calculation of $\Phi_q^{(1)} \otimes T_b^{(0)}$, and the cancellation of the IR Div is vital. We establish the detail of the calculation of $\Phi_q^{(1)} \otimes T_b^{(0)}$ as an example of how those corrections of wave-function are calculated. In the calculation we use Integral by part(IBP) method [33].

The tree-level amplitude $T_b^{(0)}$ is

$$T_b^{(0)} = e_Q P_L^\mu \frac{\not{k}_Q - \not{p}_\gamma + m_Q}{(k_Q - p_\gamma)^2 - m_Q^2} \not{\epsilon} \tag{A1}$$

Then the convolution of the wave-function and the hard amplitude can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_q^{(1)} \otimes T_b^{(0)} &= i e_Q g_s^2 C_F \int \frac{d^d l}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{l^2} \bar{v}_{\bar{q}} \gamma^\rho \frac{\not{l} + \not{p}_{\bar{q}}}{(l + p_{\bar{q}})^2 - m_q^2} \int_0^1 d\alpha \left(- \left. \frac{\partial T_b^{(0)\mu}}{\partial k_Q^\rho} \right|_{k_Q = p_Q - \alpha l} \right) u_Q \\
&= -i e_Q g_s^2 C_F \int \frac{d^d l}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{l^2} \bar{v}_{\bar{q}} \gamma^\rho \frac{\not{l} + \not{p}_{\bar{q}}}{(l + p_{\bar{q}})^2 - m_q^2} P_L^\mu \\
&\times \int_0^1 d\alpha \left(\frac{\gamma_\rho}{(Q - \alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2} - \frac{2(Q - \alpha l)^\rho \left(\not{Q} - \alpha \not{l} + m_Q \right)}{((Q - \alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2)^2} \right) \not{\epsilon} u_Q \\
Q &= p_Q - p_\gamma
\end{aligned} \tag{A2}$$

With the help of $\bar{v}_{\bar{q}}$, we find

$$\Phi_q^{(1)} \otimes T_b^{(0)} = -e_Q g_s^2 C_F \bar{v}_{\bar{q}} \left(I_1 + I_2 + I_3\right) \not{\epsilon} u_Q \tag{A3}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= 2\int \frac{d^dl}{(2\pi)^d}\frac{1}{l^2}\frac{1}{(l+p_{\bar{q}})^2-m_q^2}P_L^\mu \int_0^1 d\alpha \frac{\not{p}_{\bar{q}}}{(Q-\alpha l)^2-m_Q^2} \\
I_2 &= -2\int \frac{d^dl}{(2\pi)^d}\frac{1}{l^2}\frac{1}{(l+p_{\bar{q}})^2-m_q^2}P_L^\mu \int_0^1 d\alpha \frac{(2Q\cdot p_{\bar{q}} - \alpha 2 l\cdot p_{\bar{q}})\left(\not{Q}-\alpha\not{l}+m_Q\right)}{((Q-\alpha l)^2-m_Q^2)^2} \\
I_3 &= \int \frac{d^dl}{(2\pi)^d}\frac{1}{l^2}\frac{\gamma^\rho \not{l}}{(l+p_{\bar{q}})^2-m_q^2}P_L^\mu \int_0^1 d\alpha \left(\frac{\gamma_\rho}{(Q-\alpha l)^2-m_Q^2} - \frac{2(Q-\alpha l)^\rho\left(\not{Q}-\alpha\not{l}+m_Q\right)}{((Q-\alpha l)^2-m_Q^2)^2}\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{A4}$$

And I_1 can be treated as

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= 2\int \frac{d^dl}{(2\pi)^d}\frac{1}{l^2}\int_0^1 d\alpha \frac{1}{(l+p_{\bar{q}})^2-m_q^2}P_L^\mu \frac{(Q^2-m_Q^2-\alpha^2 l^2+2\alpha^2 l^2-2\alpha l\cdot Q)\not{p}_{\bar{q}}}{((Q-\alpha l)^2-m_Q^2)^2} \\
&= 2\int \frac{d^dl}{(2\pi)^d}\int_0^1 d\alpha \frac{1}{l^2}\frac{1}{(l+p_{\bar{q}})^2-m_q^2}P_L^\mu \frac{(-2\alpha l\cdot Q)\not{p}_{\bar{q}}}{((Q-\alpha l)^2-m_Q^2)^2} \\
&+ 2\int \frac{d^dl}{(2\pi)^d}\int_0^1 d\alpha \frac{2\alpha^2}{(l+p_{\bar{q}})^2-m_q^2}P_L^\mu \frac{\not{p}_{\bar{q}}}{((Q-\alpha l)^2-m_Q^2)^2} \\
&+ 2\int \frac{d^dl}{(2\pi)^d}\frac{1}{l^2}\frac{1}{(l+p_{\bar{q}})^2-m_q^2}P_L^\mu \frac{\not{p}_{\bar{q}}}{((Q-\alpha l)^2-m_Q^2)^2}
\end{aligned} \tag{A5}$$

The second term in Eq. A5 can be worked out directly by integrate with l first, and then integrate with α. The result is free from IR Div, for convenience, we define

$$\begin{aligned}
f_1 &= \int \frac{d^dl}{(2\pi)^d}\int_0^1 d\alpha \frac{2\alpha^2}{(l+p_{\bar{q}})^2-m_q^2}\frac{1}{((Q-\alpha l)^2-m_Q^2)^2} \\
&= -2\frac{i}{16\pi^2}\int_0^1 dx \int_0^1 d\alpha \frac{1}{(x-1)Q^2+m_Q^2-\alpha(1-x)2Q\cdot p_{\bar{q}}-i\epsilon}
\end{aligned} \tag{A6}$$

From the integral we find f_1 is free from IR Div. The other terms will be calculated with I_2 together later. Part of I_2 can be worked out, and we define

$$\begin{aligned}
f_2 &= 2\int \frac{d^dl}{(2\pi)^d}\frac{1}{l^2}\frac{1}{(l+p_{\bar{q}})^2-m_q^2}\int_0^1 d\alpha \frac{\alpha 2 l\cdot p_{\bar{q}}\left(\not{Q}-\alpha\not{l}+m_Q\right)}{((Q-\alpha l)^2-m_Q^2)^2} \\
&= 2\int \frac{d^dl}{(2\pi)^d}\left(\frac{1}{l^2}-\frac{1}{l+2l\cdot p_{\bar{q}}}\right)\int_0^1 d\alpha \frac{\alpha\not{Q}-\alpha^2\not{l}+\alpha m_Q}{((Q-\alpha l)^2-m_Q^2)^2}
\end{aligned} \tag{A7}$$

When integrate with α, the divergences in $\frac{1}{l^2}$ and $\frac{1}{l+2l\cdot p_{\bar{q}}}$ in Eq. (A7) can cancel each other, and we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_2 &= 2\frac{-i}{16\pi^2}\int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 dx \frac{1}{\alpha}\left(\frac{x(1-x)\not{Q}+xm_Q}{xm_Q^2+x(x-1)Q^2-i\epsilon}\right. \\
&\left.-\frac{x(1-x)\not{Q}+\alpha x(1-x)\not{p}_{\bar{q}}+xm_Q}{\alpha x(x-1)2Q\cdot p_{\bar{q}}+xm_Q^2+x(x-1)Q^2-i\epsilon}\right) \\
&= -2\frac{i}{16\pi^2}\int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 dx \left(\frac{((x-1)2Q\cdot p_{\bar{q}})\left((1-x)\not{Q}+m_Q\right)}{\left(m_Q^2+(x-1)Q^2-i\epsilon\right)\left(\alpha(x-1)2Q\cdot p_{\bar{q}}+m_Q^2+(x-1)Q^2-i\epsilon\right)}\right. \\
&\left.-\frac{(1-x)\not{p}_{\bar{q}}}{\alpha(x-1)2Q\cdot p_{\bar{q}}+m_Q^2+(x-1)Q^2-i\epsilon}\right)
\end{aligned}
\tag{A8}
$$

From the integral we find f_2 is free from IR Div. The other part of I_2 can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-2\int\frac{d^dl}{(2\pi)^d}\frac{1}{l^2}\frac{1}{(l+p_{\bar{q}})^2-m_q^2}P_L^\mu\int_0^1 d\alpha\frac{2Q\cdot p_{\bar{q}}\left(\not{Q}+m_Q\right)}{((Q-\alpha l)^2-m_Q^2)^2} \\
&=-2\int\frac{d^dl}{(2\pi)^d}\frac{1}{l^2}\frac{1}{(l+p_{\bar{q}})^2-m_q^2}P_L^\mu\int_0^1 d\alpha\frac{(Q^2-m_Q^2)-\alpha^2l^2}{Q^2-m_Q^2}\frac{2Q\cdot p_{\bar{q}}\left(\not{Q}+m_Q\right)}{((Q-\alpha l)^2-m_Q^2)^2} \\
&-2\int\frac{d^dl}{(2\pi)^d}\frac{1}{(l+p_{\bar{q}})^2-m_q^2}P_L^\mu\int_0^1 d\alpha\frac{\alpha^2}{Q^2-m_Q^2}\frac{2Q\cdot p_{\bar{q}}\left(\not{Q}+m_Q\right)}{((Q-\alpha l)^2-m_Q^2)^2} \\
&=-2P_L^\mu\frac{2Q\cdot p_{\bar{q}}\left(\not{Q}+m_Q\right)}{Q^2-m_Q^2}C_0+f_1\frac{w-z}{y}P_L^\mu\left(\not{Q}+m_Q\right)
\end{aligned}
\tag{A9}
$$

where C_0 is the Pa-Ve function $C_0(-z,x-y+w-z,x;0,0,x)$. We will use C denote $C(-z,x-y+w-z,x;0,0,x)$ in this section. We find

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_1+I_2 &= P_L^\mu f_1\left(2\not{p}_{\bar{q}}+\frac{w-y}{y}\left(\not{Q}+m_Q\right)\right)+P_L^\mu f_2+\left(2P_L^\mu\not{p}_{\bar{q}}-2P_L^\mu\frac{2Q\cdot p_{\bar{q}}\left(\not{Q}+m_Q\right)}{Q^2-m_Q^2}\right)C_0 \\
&+2\int\frac{d^dl}{(2\pi)^d}\frac{1}{l^2}\frac{1}{(l+p_{\bar{q}})^2-m_q^2}P_L^\mu\int_0^1 d\alpha\frac{2Q\cdot p_{\bar{q}}\alpha\not{l}-2\alpha l\cdot Q\not{p}_{\bar{q}}}{((Q-\alpha l)^2-m_Q^2)^2}
\end{aligned}
\tag{A10}
$$

We can perform the Feynman parameter directly on the last term, so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&2\int\frac{d^dl}{(2\pi)^d}\frac{1}{l^2}\frac{1}{(l+p_{\bar{q}})^2-m_q^2}P_L^\mu\int_0^1 d\alpha\frac{2Q\cdot p_{\bar{q}}\alpha\not{l}-2\alpha l\cdot Q\not{p}_{\bar{q}}}{((Q-\alpha l)^2-m_Q^2)^2}\\
&=2\frac{1}{Q^2}\int\frac{d^dl}{(2\pi)^d}\frac{1}{l^2}\frac{1}{(l+p_{\bar{q}})^2-m_q^2}P_L^\mu\\
&\times\int_0^1 d\alpha\frac{Q^2(2Q\cdot p_{\bar{q}}\alpha\not{l}-2\alpha l\cdot Q\not{p}_{\bar{q}})-(Q^2-m_Q^2)(2Q\cdot p_{\bar{q}}\not{Q}-2Q^2\not{p}_{\bar{q}})}{((Q-\alpha l)^2-m_Q^2)^2}\\
&+2\frac{1}{Q^2}\int\frac{d^dl}{(2\pi)^d}\frac{1}{l^2}\frac{1}{(l+p_{\bar{q}})^2-m_q^2}P_L^\mu\int_0^1 d\alpha\frac{(Q^2-m_Q^2)(2Q\cdot p_{\bar{q}}\not{Q}-2Q^2\not{p}_{\bar{q}})}{((Q-\alpha l)^2-m_Q^2)^2}
\end{aligned}
\tag{A11}
$$

The first term is

$$
2\frac{P_L^\mu(2Q\cdot p_{\bar{q}}\not{Q}-2Q^2\not{p}_{\bar{q}})}{Q^2}\frac{i}{16\pi^2}\int_0^1 d\alpha\int_0^1 dx\int_0^{1-x}dy\frac{x^2Q^2-xq^2+xm_Q^2}{(\alpha^2y^2m_q^2+\alpha xy2Q\cdot p_{\bar{q}}+x(x-1)Q^2+xm_Q^2)^2}
\tag{A12}
$$

We add an m_q^2 term in the numerator and integrate with α, so, it is

$$
\begin{aligned}
&2\frac{P_L^\mu(2Q\cdot p_{\bar{q}}\not{Q}-2Q^2\not{p}_{\bar{q}})}{Q^2}\frac{i}{16\pi^2}\int_0^1 d\alpha\int_0^1 dx\int_0^{1-x}dy\frac{x^2Q^2-xq^2+xm_Q^2-\alpha^2y^2m_q^2}{(\alpha^2y^2m_q^2+\alpha xy2Q\cdot p_{\bar{q}}+x(x-1)Q^2+xm_Q^2)^2}\\
&=2\frac{P_L^\mu(2Q\cdot p_{\bar{q}}\not{Q}-2Q^2\not{p}_{\bar{q}})}{Q^2}\frac{i}{16\pi^2}\int_0^1 dx\int_0^{1-x}dy\frac{1}{(y^2m_q^2+xy2Q\cdot p_{\bar{q}}+x(x-1)Q^2+xm_Q^2)}\\
&=-2\frac{P_L^\mu(2Q\cdot p_{\bar{q}}\not{Q}-2Q^2\not{p}_{\bar{q}})}{Q^2}C_0
\end{aligned}
\tag{A13}
$$

And the second term is

$$
\begin{aligned}
&2\frac{1}{Q^2}\int\frac{d^dl}{(2\pi)^d}\frac{1}{l^2}\frac{1}{(l+p_{\bar{q}})^2-m_q^2}P_L^\mu\int_0^1 d\alpha\frac{(Q^2-m_Q^2)(2Q\cdot p_{\bar{q}}\not{Q}-2Q^2\not{p}_{\bar{q}})}{((Q-\alpha l)^2-m_Q^2)^2}\\
&=2\frac{1}{Q^2}\int\frac{d^dl}{(2\pi)^d}\frac{1}{l^2}\frac{1}{(l+p_{\bar{q}})^2-m_q^2}P_L^\mu\int_0^1 d\alpha\frac{(Q^2-m_Q^2-\alpha^2l^2)(2Q\cdot p_{\bar{q}}\not{Q}-2Q^2\not{p}_{\bar{q}})}{((Q-\alpha l)^2-m_Q^2)^2}\\
&+2\frac{1}{Q^2}\int\frac{d^dl}{(2\pi)^d}\frac{1}{(l+p_{\bar{q}})^2-m_q^2}P_L^\mu\int_0^1 d\alpha\frac{\alpha^2(2Q\cdot p_{\bar{q}}\not{Q}-2Q^2\not{p}_{\bar{q}})}{((Q-\alpha l)^2-m_Q^2)^2}
\end{aligned}
\tag{A14}
$$

The first term can be integrated with α directly, and the second term is just f_1, so it is

$$
2\frac{P_L^\mu(2Q\cdot p_{\bar{q}}\not{Q}-2Q^2\not{p}_{\bar{q}})}{Q^2}C_0+f_1\frac{P_L^\mu(2Q\cdot p_{\bar{q}}\not{Q}-2Q^2\not{p}_{\bar{q}})}{Q^2}
\tag{A15}
$$

Finally, we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_1 + I_2 = {} & P_L^\mu f_1 \left(\left(\frac{w-z}{y} + \frac{w-z}{x-y} \right) \not{Q} + \frac{w-z}{y} m_Q \right) + P_L^\mu f_2 \\
& + \left(2P_L^\mu \not{p}_{\bar{q}} - 2P_L^\mu \frac{2Q \cdot p_{\bar{q}} \left(\not{Q} + m_Q \right)}{Q^2 - m_Q^2} \right) C_0
\end{aligned}
\tag{A16}
$$

The I_3 term can be worked out together with I_4, which we defined as

$$
I_4 = \int \frac{d^d l}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{l^2} \frac{\not{l} \gamma^\rho}{(l + p_{\bar{q}})^2 - m_q^2} P_L^\mu \int_0^1 d\alpha \left(\frac{\gamma_\rho}{(Q - \alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2} - \frac{2(Q - \alpha l)^\rho \left(\not{Q} - \alpha \not{l} + m_Q \right)}{((Q - \alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2)^2} \right)
\tag{A17}
$$

the sum of I_3 and I_4 is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_3 + I_4 = 2 \int \frac{d^d l}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{l^2} \frac{1}{(l + p_{\bar{q}})^2 - m_q^2} P_L^\mu \\
& \times \int_0^1 d\alpha \left(\frac{\not{l}}{(Q - \alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2} - \frac{(2l \cdot Q - 2\alpha l^2) \left(\not{Q} - \alpha \not{l} + m_Q \right)}{((Q - \alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2)^2} \right) \\
& = -2 \int \frac{d^d l}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{l^2} \frac{1}{(l + p_{\bar{q}})^2 - m_q^2} P_L^\mu \int_0^1 d\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \frac{\not{Q} - \alpha \not{l} + m_Q}{(Q - \alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2} \\
& = 2 \int \frac{d^d l}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{l^2} \frac{1}{(l + p_{\bar{q}})^2 - m_q^2} P_L^\mu \left(\frac{\not{Q} + m_Q}{Q^2 - m_Q^2} - \frac{\not{Q} - \not{l} + m_Q}{(Q - l)^2 - m_Q^2} \right) \\
& = 2 \int \frac{d^d l}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{l^2} \frac{1}{(l + p_{\bar{q}})^2 - m_q^2} P_L^\mu \left(- \frac{\not{Q} + m_Q}{Q^2 - m_Q^2} \frac{2l \cdot Q}{(Q - l)^2 - m_Q^2} + \frac{\not{l}}{(Q - l)^2 - m_Q^2} \right) \\
& + 2 \frac{1}{Q^2 - m_Q^2} \int \frac{d^d l}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{(l + p_{\bar{q}})^2 - m_q^2} P_L^\mu \frac{\not{Q} + m_Q}{(Q - l)^2 - m_Q^2}
\end{aligned}
\tag{A18}
$$

Using the IBP relation

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 = {} & -I_3 + \int \frac{d^D l}{(2\pi)^D} \int_0^1 d\alpha \frac{D}{l^2} \frac{1}{(l + p_{\bar{q}})^2 - m_q^2} P_L^\mu \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{\not{Q} - \alpha \not{l} + m_Q}{(Q - \alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2} \right) \\
& - 2 \int \frac{d^D l}{(2\pi)^D} \int_0^1 d\alpha \frac{1}{l^2} \frac{1}{(l + p_{\bar{q}})^2 - m_q^2} P_L^\mu \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{\not{Q} - \alpha \not{l} + m_Q}{(Q - \alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2} \right) \\
& - 2 \int \frac{d^D l}{(2\pi)^D} \int_0^1 d\alpha \frac{(\not{l} + \not{p}_{\bar{q}}) \not{l}}{l^2} \frac{1}{((l + p_{\bar{q}})^2 - m_q^2)^2} P_L^\mu \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{\not{Q} - \alpha \not{l} + m_Q}{(Q - \alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2} \right)
\end{aligned}
\tag{A19}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 = -I_4 &+ \int \frac{d^D l}{(2\pi)^D} \int_0^1 d\alpha \frac{D}{l^2} \frac{1}{(l+p_{\bar{q}})^2 - m_q^2} P_L^\mu \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{\not{Q} - \alpha \not{l} + m_Q}{(Q-\alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2} \right) \\
&- 2 \int \frac{d^D l}{(2\pi)^D} \int_0^1 d\alpha \frac{1}{l^2} \frac{1}{(l+p_{\bar{q}})^2 - m_q^2} P_L^\mu \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{\not{Q} - \alpha \not{l} + m_Q}{(Q-\alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2} \right) \\
&- 2 \int \frac{d^D l}{(2\pi)^D} \int_0^1 d\alpha \frac{\not{l}(\not{l} + \not{p}_{\bar{q}})}{l^2} \frac{1}{((l+p_{\bar{q}})^2 - m_q^2)^2} P_L^\mu \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{\not{Q} - \alpha \not{l} + m_Q}{(Q-\alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2} \right)
\end{aligned}
\tag{A20}
$$

we find

$$
I_3 - I_4 = 2 \int \frac{d^d l}{(2\pi)^d} \int_0^1 d\alpha \frac{\not{l}\not{p}_{\bar{q}} - \not{l}\not{p}_{\bar{q}}}{l^2} \frac{1}{((l+p_{\bar{q}})^2 - m_q^2)^2} P_L^\mu \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{\not{Q} - \alpha \not{l} + m_Q}{(Q-\alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2} \right) \tag{A21}
$$

With the help of $\bar{v}_{\bar{q}}$, it is

$$
I_3 - I_4 = 2 \int \frac{d^d l}{(2\pi)^d} \int_0^1 d\alpha \frac{2l \cdot p_{\bar{q}}}{l^2} \frac{1}{((l+p_{\bar{q}})^2 - m_q^2)^2} P_L^\mu \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{\not{Q} - \alpha \not{l} + m_Q}{(Q-\alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2} \right) \tag{A22}
$$

The α integral can be worked out by the substitution $l \to \alpha l$

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_3 - I_4 &= 2 \int \frac{d^d(\alpha l)}{(2\pi)^d} \int_0^1 d\alpha \frac{2(\alpha l) \cdot p_{\bar{q}}}{(\alpha l)^2} \frac{1}{((\alpha l)^2 + \alpha 2(\alpha l) \cdot p_{\bar{q}})^2} P_L^\mu \frac{\not{Q} - \alpha \not{l} + m_Q}{(Q-\alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2} \\
&= 2 \int \frac{d^d l}{(2\pi)^d} \int_0^1 d\alpha \frac{2l \cdot p_{\bar{q}}}{l^2} \frac{1}{(l^2 + \alpha 2l \cdot p_{\bar{q}})^2} P_L^\mu \frac{\not{Q} - \not{l} + m_Q}{(Q-l)^2 - m_Q^2}
\end{aligned}
\tag{A23}
$$

And now we can integrate with α, and the result is

$$
I_3 - I_4 = 2 \int \frac{d^d l}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{2l \cdot p_{\bar{q}}}{l^4} \frac{1}{l^2 + 2l \cdot p_{\bar{q}}} P_L^\mu \frac{\not{Q} + m_Q}{(Q-l)^2 - m_Q^2} + 2 \int \frac{d^d l}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{l^4} P_L^\mu \frac{-\not{l}}{(Q-l)^2 - m_Q^2} + 2\not{C} \tag{A24}
$$

where C^μ is the Pa-Ve function. And then we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
&2 \int \frac{d^d l}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{2l \cdot p_{\bar{q}}}{l^4} \frac{1}{l^2 + 2l \cdot p_{\bar{q}}} P_L^\mu \frac{\not{Q} + m_Q}{(Q-l)^2 - m_Q^2} \\
&= 2 \int \frac{d^d l}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{2l \cdot p_{\bar{q}}}{l^4} \frac{1}{l^2 + 2l \cdot p_{\bar{q}}} P_L^\mu \frac{\not{Q} + m_Q}{Q^2 - m_Q^2} \\
&+ 2 \frac{1}{Q^2 - m_Q^2} \int \frac{d^d l}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{2l \cdot p_{\bar{q}}}{l^4} \frac{1}{l^2 + 2l \cdot p_{\bar{q}}} P_L^\mu \frac{2l \cdot Q(\not{Q} + m_Q)}{(Q-l)^2 - m_Q^2} \\
&- 2 \frac{1}{Q^2 - m_Q^2} \int \frac{d^d l}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{2l \cdot p_{\bar{q}}}{l^2} \frac{1}{l^2 + 2l \cdot p_{\bar{q}}} P_L^\mu \frac{\not{Q} + m_Q}{(Q-l)^2 - m_Q^2}
\end{aligned}
\tag{A25}
$$

The first term will vanish. Then we can calculate I_3 by using $2I_3 = (I_3 + I_4) + (I_3 - I_4)$, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_3 = & \int \frac{d^dl}{(2\pi)^d}\frac{1}{l^2}\frac{1}{(l+p_{\bar{q}})^2 - m_q^2}P_L^\mu \left(-2\frac{\not{Q}+m_Q}{Q^2-m_Q^2}\frac{2l\cdot Q}{(Q-l)^2-m_Q^2} + 2\frac{\not{l}}{(Q-l)^2-m_Q^2}\right) \\
& + 2\frac{1}{Q^2-m_Q^2}\int \frac{d^dl}{(2\pi)^d}\frac{1}{(l+p_{\bar{q}})^2-m_q^2}P_L^\mu \frac{\not{Q}+m_Q}{(Q-l)^2-m_Q^2} \\
& + \frac{1}{Q^2-m_Q^2}\int \frac{d^dl}{(2\pi)^d}\frac{1}{l^4}P_L^\mu \frac{2l\cdot Q(\not{Q}+m_Q)}{(Q-l)^2-m_Q^2} \\
& - \frac{1}{Q^2-m_Q^2}\int \frac{d^dl}{(2\pi)^d}\frac{1}{l^2}P_L^\mu \frac{\not{Q}+m_Q}{(Q-l)^2-m_Q^2} + \int \frac{d^dl}{(2\pi)^d}\frac{1}{l^4}P_L^\mu \frac{-\not{l}}{(Q-l)^2-m_Q^2}
\end{aligned}
\tag{A26}
$$

Using the results

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{Q^2-m_Q^2}\int \frac{d^dl}{(2\pi)^d}\frac{1}{l^4}P_L^\mu \frac{2l\cdot Q(\not{Q}+m_Q)}{(Q-l)^2-m_Q^2} = -2\frac{1}{y}\frac{i}{16\pi^2}\left(1-\frac{x}{x-y}\log\frac{x}{y}\right)P_L^\mu(\not{Q}+m_Q) \\
& \int \frac{d^dl}{(2\pi)^d}\frac{1}{l^4}P_L^\mu \frac{-\not{l}}{(Q-l)^2-m_Q^2} = -\frac{i}{16\pi^2}\frac{(x-y)-x\log\frac{x}{y}}{(x-y)^2}P_L^\mu \not{Q}
\end{aligned}
\tag{A27}
$$

we define

$$
f_3 = -\frac{i}{16\pi^2}\left(\left(\frac{2}{y}-\frac{2x}{y(x-y)}\log\frac{x}{y}\right)(\not{Q}+m_Q) + \left(\frac{1}{x-y}-\frac{x}{(x-y)^2}\log\frac{x}{y}\right)\not{Q}\right) \tag{A28}
$$

So that, combine all those terms together we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_1+I_2+I_3 = & P_L^\mu f_1\left(\left(\frac{w-z}{y}+\frac{w-z}{x-y}\right)\not{Q} + \frac{w-z}{y}m_Q\right) + P_L^\mu f_2 + P_L^\mu f_3 \\
& - \frac{2P_L^\mu(\not{Q}+m_Q)}{y}B_0(x-y+w-z,0,x) + \frac{P_L^\mu(\not{Q}+m_Q)}{y}B_0(x-y,0,x) \\
& + \left(2P_L^\mu \not{p}_{\bar{q}} - 2P_L^\mu \frac{2Q\cdot p_{\bar{q}}(\not{Q}+m_Q)}{Q^2-m_Q^2}\right)C_0 + 2P_L^\mu\left(-\frac{\not{Q}+m_Q}{Q^2-m_Q^2}2Q\cdot C + \not{C}\right)
\end{aligned}
\tag{A29}
$$

Now we are going to show the IR divergence cancellation. $F_b^{(1)\text{Weak}}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_b^{(1)\text{Weak}} &= ie_Q C_f g_s^2 \int \frac{d^dl}{(2\pi)^d}\bar{v}_{\bar{q}}\frac{1}{l^2}\gamma_\rho \frac{\not{l}+\not{p}_{\bar{q}}}{(l+p_{\bar{q}})^2-m_q^2}P_L^\mu \frac{\not{Q}-\not{l}+m_Q}{(Q-l)^2-m_Q^2}\gamma^\rho \frac{\not{Q}+m_Q}{Q^2-m_Q^2}\not{\epsilon}u_Q \\
&= ie_Q C_f g_s^2 \bar{v}(F_1+F_2+F_3+F_4)\not{\epsilon}u_Q
\end{aligned}
\tag{A30}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_1 &= \frac{1}{l^2}\gamma_\rho \frac{\not{l}}{(l+p_{\bar{q}})^2-m_q^2} P_L^\mu \frac{-\not{l}}{(Q-l)^2-m_Q^2}\gamma^\rho \frac{\not{Q}+m_Q}{Q^2-m_Q^2} \\
F_2 &= \frac{1}{l^2}\gamma_\rho \frac{\not{p}_{\bar{q}}}{(l+p_{\bar{q}})^2-m_q^2} P_L^\mu \frac{\not{Q}+m_Q}{(Q-l)^2-m_Q^2}\gamma^\rho \frac{\not{Q}+m_Q}{Q^2-m_Q^2} \\
F_3 &= \frac{1}{l^2}\gamma_\rho \frac{\not{l}}{(l+p_{\bar{q}})^2-m_q^2} P_L^\mu \frac{\not{Q}+m_Q}{(Q-l)^2-m_Q^2}\gamma^\rho \frac{\not{Q}+m_Q}{Q^2-m_Q^2} \\
F_4 &= \frac{1}{l^2}\gamma_\rho \frac{\not{p}_{\bar{q}}}{(l+p_{\bar{q}})^2-m_q^2} P_L^\mu \frac{-\not{l}}{(Q-l)^2-m_Q^2}\gamma^\rho \frac{\not{Q}+m_Q}{Q^2-m_Q^2}
\end{aligned}
\tag{A31}
$$

with the help of $\bar{v}_{\bar{q}}$, they are

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_1 &= (D-2)\left(-B_0(x-y+w-z,0,x)\right) P_L^\mu \frac{\not{Q}+m_Q}{Q^2-m_Q^2} \\
&+ 2(D-2)C_{00} P_L^\mu \frac{\not{Q}+m_Q}{Q^2-m_Q^2} - 4(p_{\bar{q}}^\mu C_{12} - Q^\mu C_{22})(1+\gamma_5)\frac{\not{Q}m_Q+Q^2}{Q^2-m_Q^2} \\
F_2 &= 2P_L^\mu \left(-\not{p}_{\bar{q}} + \frac{2Q\cdot p_{\bar{q}}(\not{Q}+m_Q)}{Q^2-m_Q^2}\right) C_0 \\
F_3 &= \left(-2Q^2 P_L^\mu \frac{\not{Q}+m_Q}{Q^2-m_Q^2} + 2P_L^\mu \not{Q} + 2\not{Q}\gamma^\mu(1+\gamma_5)\right) C_2 + 2P_L^\mu \left(-\not{p}_{\bar{q}} + \frac{2Q\cdot p_{\bar{q}}(\not{Q}+m_Q)}{Q^2-m_Q^2}\right) C_1 \\
F_4 &= \left(2P_L^\mu 2Q\cdot p_{\bar{q}} \frac{\not{Q}+m_Q}{Q^2-m_Q^2} - 4p_{\bar{q}}^\mu(1+\gamma_5)\frac{Q^2+\not{Q}m_Q}{Q^2-m_Q^2}\right) C_2
\end{aligned}
\tag{A32}
$$

Finally, we can obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\Phi^{(0)} \otimes T_b^{(1)\ \mathrm{Weak}} = ie_Q g_s^2 C_F \bar{v}_{\bar{q}} \\
&\times \left(\left(\frac{y-w+z}{w-z}\left(B_0(x-y,0,x) - B_0(x-y+w-z,0,x)\right) + 2\right) P_L^\mu \frac{\not{Q}+m_Q}{Q^2-m_Q^2} \right. \\
&+2\not{Q}\gamma^\mu(1+\gamma_5)C_2 - 4p_{\bar{q}}^\mu(1+\gamma_5)\frac{Q^2+\not{Q}m_Q}{Q^2-m_Q^2}C_2 - 4(p_{\bar{q}}^\mu C_{12} - Q^\mu C_{22})(1+\gamma_5)\frac{\not{Q}m_Q+Q^2}{Q^2-m_Q^2} \\
&\left. +P_L^\mu f_1 \left(\left(\frac{w-z}{y} + \frac{w-z}{x-y}\right)\not{Q} + \frac{w-z}{y} m_Q \right) + P_L^\mu f_2 + P_L^\mu f_3 \right) \not{\epsilon} u_Q
\end{aligned}
\tag{A33}
$$

We know that, there are IR divergences in both, C_0 and C_1. However, they are canceled and will not show up in $T_b^{(1)\mathrm{Weak}}$, and the cancellation can be shown without using any order expansion over the heavy quark mass. Therefor, at 1-loop order, the cancellation of IR Div can be established to all orders over the heavy quark mass expansion.

Appendix B: the detail of $\Phi^{(1)}_{\rm Wfc}\otimes T^{(0)}$

The correction of wave-function corresponding to $F_a^{(1)\rm Wfc}$ and $F_b^{(1)\rm Wfc}$ is $\Phi^{(1)}_{\rm Wfc}\otimes T^{(0)}_a$ and $\Phi^{(1)}_{\rm Wfc}\otimes T^{(0)}_b$. The calculation of these two corrections are similar to each other.

The $\Phi^{(1)}_{\rm Wfc}\otimes T^{(0)}_a$ is

$$\Phi^{(1)}_{\rm Wfc}\otimes T^{(0)}_a=(D-2)ig_s^2C_F\int\frac{d^Dl}{(2\pi)^D}\frac{1}{l^2}\bar{v}_{\bar{q}}\int_0^1d\alpha\int_0^1d\beta\frac{\not{q}-(\alpha-\beta)\not{l}}{(q-(\alpha-\beta)l)^4}u_Q \tag{B1}$$

At first we adjust the region of α and β, and we find

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int\frac{d^Dl}{(2\pi)^D}\int_0^1d\alpha\int_0^1d\beta\frac{1}{l^2}\frac{\not{q}-(\alpha-\beta)\not{l}}{(q-(\alpha-\beta)l)^4}\\
&=\int\frac{d^Dl}{(2\pi)^D}\int_0^1d\beta\int_{-\beta}^{1-\beta}d\alpha\frac{1}{l^2}\frac{\not{q}-\alpha\not{l}}{(q-\alpha l)^4}\\
&=\int\frac{d^Dl}{(2\pi)^D}\left(\int_{-1}^0d\alpha\int_{-\alpha}^1d\beta+\int_0^1d\alpha\int_0^{1-\alpha}d\beta\right)\frac{1}{l^2}\frac{\not{q}-\alpha\not{l}}{(q-\alpha l)^4}\\
&=\int\frac{d^Dl}{(2\pi)^D}\left(\int_{-1}^0d\alpha(1+\alpha)+\int_0^1d\alpha(1-\alpha)\right)\frac{1}{l^2}\frac{\not{q}-\alpha\not{l}}{(q-\alpha l)^4}\\
&=\int\frac{d^Dl}{(2\pi)^D}\int_{-1}^1d\alpha\frac{1}{l^2}\frac{\not{q}-\alpha\not{l}}{(q-\alpha l)^4}\\
&+\int\frac{d^Dl}{(2\pi)^D}\left(\int_{-1}^0d\alpha\alpha-\int_0^1d\alpha\alpha\right)\frac{1}{l^2}\frac{\not{q}-\alpha\not{l}}{(q-\alpha l)^4}
\end{aligned} \tag{B2}$$

We calculate the first term at first, we shift the momentum $l\to l-\frac{q}{\alpha}$, and we find

$$\int\frac{d^Dl}{(2\pi)^D}\frac{1}{(q-\alpha l)^4}\frac{\not{l}}{l^2}=-\int\frac{d^Dl}{(2\pi)^D}\frac{1}{\alpha}\frac{1}{(q+\alpha l)^2}\frac{\not{l}}{l^4} \tag{B3}$$

Using IBP relation

$$0=(D-3)\int\frac{d^Dl}{(2\pi)^D}\frac{1}{\alpha}\frac{1}{(q+\alpha l)^2}\frac{\not{l}}{l^4}-\int\frac{d^Dl}{(2\pi)^D}\frac{(2\alpha l^2+2l\cdot q)}{(q+\alpha l)^4}\frac{\not{l}}{l^4} \tag{B4}$$

we find

$$\int_{-1}^1d\alpha\int\frac{d^Dl}{(2\pi)^D}\frac{1}{\alpha}\frac{1}{(q+\alpha l)^2}\frac{\not{l}}{l^4}=\int\frac{d^Dl}{(2\pi)^D}\left(\frac{1}{(q-l)^2}\frac{\not{l}}{l^4}-\frac{1}{(q+l)^2}\frac{\not{l}}{l^4}\right)=2\frac{\not{q}}{q^2}\frac{i}{16\pi^2} \tag{B5}$$

Then we calculate the second term. We can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int\frac{d^Dl}{(2\pi)^D}\left(\int_{-1}^0d\alpha\alpha-\int_0^1d\alpha\alpha\right)\frac{\not{q}-\alpha\not{l}}{(q-\alpha l)^4}\frac{1}{l^2}\\
&=2\int_0^1dX\int\frac{d^Dl}{(2\pi)^D}\left(\int_{-1}^0d\alpha\alpha-\int_0^1d\alpha\alpha\right)\frac{X(1-X)\not{q}}{\alpha^4(l^2-\frac{x(1-x)(-q^2)}{\alpha^2})^3}\\
&=-\int_0^1dX\int\frac{d^Dl}{(2\pi)^D}\frac{\not{q}}{q^2}\frac{1}{(l^2-X(1-X)(-q^2))^2}=-\frac{\not{q}}{q^2}\frac{i}{16\pi^2}\left(N_{\rm UV}-\log\frac{z}{\mu^2}+2\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{B6}$$

Finally, we find

$$\Phi^{(1)}_{\rm Wfc}\otimes T^{(0)}_a=-2ig_s^2C_F\bar{v}_{\bar{q}}\not{\epsilon}\frac{\not{q}}{q^2}P_L^\mu u_Q\frac{i}{16\pi^2}\left(N_{\rm UV}-\log\frac{z}{\mu^2}+3\right) \tag{B7}$$

Next we calculate $\Phi^{(1)}_{\rm wfc}\otimes T^{(0)}_b$. The correction can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Phi^{(1)\rm Wfc}\otimes T_b^{(0)}=-i2g_s^2e_QC_f\int\frac{d^Dl}{(2\pi)^D}\frac{1}{l^2}\bar{v}_{\bar{q}}P_L^\mu\int_0^1d\alpha\int_0^1d\beta\left(\frac{2m_Q^2(\not{Q}+(\alpha-\beta)\not{l}+m_Q)}{((Q+(\alpha-\beta)l)^2-m_Q^2)^3}\right.\\
&\left.-(\frac{D-2}{2})\frac{(\not{Q}+(\alpha-\beta)\not{l})}{((Q+(\alpha-\beta)l)^2-m_Q^2)^2}-(\frac{D-4}{2})\frac{m_Q}{((Q+(\alpha-\beta)l)^2-m_Q^2)^2}\right)\not{\epsilon}u_Q\\
&Q=p_Q-p_\gamma
\end{aligned} \tag{B8}$$

At first, we adjust the region of α and β, first, we make the substitution $\alpha\to\alpha-\beta$, then we change the order of α and β, so

$$\begin{aligned}
I&=\int_0^1d\alpha\int_0^1d\beta\left(\frac{2m_Q^2(\not{Q}+(\alpha-\beta)\not{l}+m_Q)}{((Q+(\alpha-\beta)l)^2-m_Q^2)^3}\right.\\
&\left.-(\frac{D-2}{2})\frac{(\not{Q}+(\alpha-\beta)\not{l})}{((q+\alpha)l)^2-m_Q^2)^2}-(\frac{D-4}{2})\frac{m_Q}{((Q+\alpha l)^2-m_Q^2)^2}\right)\frac{1}{l^2}\\
&=\int_{-\beta}^{1-\beta}d\alpha\int_0^1d\beta\left(\frac{2m_Q^2(\not{Q}+\alpha\not{l}+m_Q)}{((Q+\alpha l)^2-m_Q^2)^3}\right.\\
&\left.-(\frac{D-2}{2})\frac{(\not{Q}+\alpha\not{l})}{((Q+\alpha l)^2-m_Q^2)^2}-(\frac{D-4}{2})\frac{m_Q}{((Q+\alpha l)^2-m_Q^2)^2}\right)\frac{1}{l^2}\\
&=\left(\int_{-1}^0d\alpha\int_{-\alpha}^1d\beta+\int_0^1d\alpha\int_0^{1-\alpha}d\beta\right)\left(\frac{2m_Q^2(\not{Q}+\alpha\not{l}+m_Q)}{((Q+\alpha l)^2-m_Q^2)^3}\right.\\
&\left.-(\frac{D-2}{2})\frac{(\not{Q}+\alpha\not{l})}{((Q+\alpha l)^2-m_Q^2)^2}-(\frac{D-4}{2})\frac{m_Q}{((Q+\alpha l)^2-m_Q^2)^2}\right)\frac{1}{l^2}
\end{aligned} \tag{B9}$$

After integrating over the parameter β, we can obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&I = I_1 + I_2 \\
&I_1 = \int_{-1}^{1} d\alpha \left(\frac{2m_Q^2(\not{Q} + \alpha \not{l} + m_Q)}{((Q+\alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2)^3} \right. \\
&\left. -(\frac{D-2}{2}) \frac{(\not{Q} + \alpha \not{l})}{((Q+\alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2)^2} - (\frac{D-4}{2}) \frac{m_Q}{((Q+\alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2)^2} \right) \frac{1}{l^2} \\
&I_2 = \left(\int_{-1}^{0} d\alpha\alpha - \int_{0}^{1} d\alpha\alpha \right) \left(\frac{2m_Q^2(\not{Q} + \alpha \not{l} + m_Q)}{((Q+\alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2)^3} \right. \\
&\left. -(\frac{D-2}{2}) \frac{(\not{Q} + \alpha \not{l})}{((Q+\alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2)^2} - (\frac{D-4}{2}) \frac{m_Q}{((Q+\alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2)^2} \right) \frac{1}{l^2}
\end{aligned}
\tag{B10}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
i_1 &= \int_{-1}^{1} d\alpha \int \frac{d^D l}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{\not{Q}}{((Q+\alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2)^2} \frac{1}{l^2} \\
&= -\int_{-1}^{1} d\alpha \int \frac{d^D l}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{\not{Q}}{Q^2 - m_Q^2} \frac{\alpha^2 l^2 - (Q^2 - m_Q^2)}{((Q+\alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2)^2} \frac{1}{l^2} \\
&+ \int_{-1}^{1} d\alpha \int \frac{d^D l}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{\not{Q}}{Q^2 - m_Q^2} \frac{\alpha^2 l^2}{((Q+\alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2)^2} \frac{1}{l^2}
\end{aligned}
\tag{B11}
$$

the integrating over α in the first term can be integrated directly, and using IBP relation

$$
0 = \int \frac{d^D l}{(2\pi)^D} \left(Q \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial l} \frac{\alpha^2 \not{l}}{((Q+\alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2)^2} \right) \tag{B12}
$$

we can obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int \frac{d^D l}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{\alpha^2 \not{Q}}{((Q+\alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2)^2} \\
&= 2 \int \frac{d^D l}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{(2\alpha^2 l \cdot Q + 2\alpha(Q^2 - m_Q^2))\alpha^2 \not{l}}{((Q+\alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2)^3} + 2 \int \frac{d^D l}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{(2\alpha m_Q^2 \not{l})\alpha^2}{((Q+\alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2)^3}
\end{aligned}
\tag{B13}
$$

The integrating over α in the first term in Eq. B13 can be integrated directly, and the second term can be written as

$$
\int \frac{d^D l}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{(2\alpha m_Q^2 \not{l})\alpha^2}{((Q+\alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2)^3} = -\int \frac{d^D l}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{2m_Q^2 \alpha^2 \not{Q}}{((Q+\alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2)^3} \tag{B14}
$$

Then using IBP relation

$$
0 = D \int \frac{d^D l}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{\alpha^2 \not{Q}}{((Q+\alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2)^3} - 3 \int \frac{d^D l}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{(2\alpha^2 l^2 + 2\alpha l \cdot Q)\, \alpha^2 \not{Q}}{((Q+\alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2)^4} \tag{B15}
$$

we obtain

$$\int \frac{d^D l}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{\alpha^2 \not{Q}}{((Q+\alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2)^3} = \frac{3}{D-3} \int \frac{d^D l}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{\left(\alpha^2 l^2 - Q^2 + m_Q^2\right) \alpha^2 \not{Q}}{((Q+\alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2)^4} \tag{B16}$$

For simplicity, we define the integration of Eq. B16 over α, i.e.

$$i_2 = \int_{-1}^{1} d\alpha \int \frac{d^D l}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{\alpha^2 \not{Q}}{((Q+\alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2)^3} = \frac{i}{16\pi^2} \frac{\not{Q}}{m_Q^2} \tag{B17}$$

Then we can get the result of i_1

$$\begin{aligned} i_1 = & \int \frac{d^D l}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{Q^2 - m_Q^2} \left(\frac{\not{Q}}{(Q+l)^2 - m_Q^2} \frac{1}{l^2} + \frac{\not{Q}}{(Q-l)^2 - m_Q^2} \frac{1}{l^2} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{\not{l}}{((Q+l)^2 - m_Q^2)^2} - \frac{\not{l}}{((Q-l)^2 - m_Q^2)^2} \right) - 4 \frac{1}{Q^2 - m_Q^2} m_Q^2 i_2 \\ = & \frac{i}{16\pi^2} \frac{\not{Q}}{Q^2 - m_Q^2} \left(-2 \frac{y}{x-y} \log \frac{x}{y} \right) = 2 \frac{i}{16\pi^2} \frac{\not{Q}}{x-y} \log \frac{x}{y} \end{aligned} \tag{B18}$$

and use IBP relation we find

$$0 = (D-1) \int \frac{d^D l}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{\alpha \not{l}}{((Q+\alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2)^2} \frac{1}{l^2} - 2 \int \frac{d^D l}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{(2\alpha^2 l^2 + 2\alpha l \cdot Q) \alpha \not{l}}{((Q+\alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2)^3} \frac{1}{l^2} \tag{B19}$$

we define it as i_3, and we find

$$i_3 = \int \frac{d^D l}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{\alpha \not{l}}{((Q+\alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2)^2} \frac{1}{l^2} = \int \frac{d^D l}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{D-3} \frac{(2\alpha^3 l^2 - 2\alpha(Q^2 - m_Q^2)) \not{l}}{((Q+\alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2)^3} \frac{1}{l^2} \tag{B20}$$

the α can be integrated out directly, so we find

$$i_3 = -2 \frac{i}{16\pi^2} \frac{\not{Q}}{x-y} \left(1 + \log \frac{x}{y} - \frac{x}{x-y} \log \frac{x}{y} \right) \tag{B21}$$

And we find that $\lim_{m_Q \to 0} (i_2 + i_3)$ can reproduce the result of integral in the light quark case as expected.

And then is

$$\int_{-1}^{1} d\alpha \int \frac{d^D l}{(2\pi)^D} 2m_Q^2 \frac{(\not{Q} + \alpha \not{l} + m_Q)}{((Q+\alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2)^3} \frac{1}{l^2} \tag{B22}$$

we find

$$2m_Q^2 \frac{(\not{Q} + m_Q)}{((Q+\alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2)^3} \frac{1}{l^2} = 2m_Q^2 \left(1 + \frac{\not{Q} m_Q}{Q^2} \right) \frac{\not{Q}}{((Q+\alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2)^3} \frac{1}{l^2} \tag{B23}$$

so we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
i_4 &= \int_{-1}^{1} d\alpha \int \frac{d^D l}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{\not{Q}}{((Q+\alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2)^3} \frac{1}{l^2} \\
&= \frac{1}{Q^2 - m_Q^2} \int_{-1}^{1} d\alpha \int \frac{d^D l}{(2\pi)^D} \left(\frac{\not{Q}}{((Q+\alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2)^2} \frac{1}{l^2} \right. \\
&\left. + \frac{\alpha^2 l^2 \not{Q}}{((Q+\alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2)^3} \frac{1}{l^2} - \frac{(2\alpha^2 l^2 + 2\alpha l \cdot Q)\not{Q}}{((Q+\alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2)^3} \frac{1}{l^2} \right)
\end{aligned}
\tag{B24}
$$

the first term is just i_1, the second term is just i_2, and the third term can be transformed to i_1 use IBP relation

$$
0 = (D-2) \int \frac{d^D l}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{\not{Q}}{((Q+\alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2)^2} \frac{1}{l^2} - 2 \int \frac{d^D l}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{(2\alpha l \cdot Q + 2\alpha^2 l^2)\not{Q}}{((Q+\alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2)^3} \frac{1}{l^2} \tag{B25}
$$

so we find

$$
i_4 = -\frac{1}{y} \left((\frac{D-2}{2} + 1) i_1 + i_2 \right) \tag{B26}
$$

And then we define

$$
i_5 = \int_{-1}^{1} d\alpha \int \frac{d^D l}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{\alpha \not{l}}{((Q+\alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2)^3} \frac{1}{l^2} \tag{B27}
$$

The calculation of i_4 is simpler then i_2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
i_5 = \frac{1}{Q^2 - m_Q^2} \int_{-1}^{1} d\alpha \int \frac{d^D l}{(2\pi)^D} \left(\frac{\alpha \not{l}}{((Q+\alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2)^2} \frac{1}{l^2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(2\alpha l^2 + 2l \cdot Q)\alpha \not{l}}{((Q+\alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2)^3} \frac{1}{l^2} \right. \\
\left. - \frac{1}{2} \frac{2\alpha l \cdot Q \alpha \not{l}}{((Q+\alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2)^3} \frac{1}{l^2} \right)
\end{aligned}
\tag{B28}
$$

the first term is i_3, and also use IBP relation, we find the second term can be transformed to i_3, as

$$
0 = (D-1) \int \frac{d^D l}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{\alpha \not{l}}{((Q+\alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2)^2} \frac{1}{l^2} - 2 \int \frac{d^D l}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{(2\alpha l \cdot Q + 2\alpha^2 l^2)\alpha \not{l}}{((Q+\alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2)^3} \frac{1}{l^2} \tag{B29}
$$

there is no UV Div in i_3, so use $D = 4$ we find

$$
i_5 = \frac{1}{Q^2 - m_Q^2} \left(\frac{i_3}{4} + \int_{-1}^{1} d\alpha \int \frac{d^D l}{(2\pi)^D} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{2\alpha l \cdot Q \alpha \not{l}}{((Q+\alpha l)^2 - m_Q^2)^3} \frac{1}{l^2} \right) \right) \tag{B30}
$$

use IBP relation

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 &= D\int\frac{d^Dl}{(2\pi)^D}\frac{2\alpha^2 l\cdot Q\not{l}}{((Q+\alpha l)^2-m_Q^2)^3}\frac{1}{l^2}-3\int\frac{d^Dl}{(2\pi)^D}\frac{(2\alpha^2l^2+2\alpha l\cdot Q)2\alpha^2 l\cdot Q\not{l}}{((Q+\alpha l)^2-m_Q^2)^4}\frac{1}{l^2}\\
&=(D-3)\int\frac{d^Dl}{(2\pi)^D}\frac{2\alpha^2 l\cdot Q\not{l}}{((Q+\alpha l)^2-m_Q^2)^3}\frac{1}{l^2}-3\int\frac{d^Dl}{(2\pi)^D}\frac{(\alpha^2l^2-Q^2+m_Q^2)2\alpha^2 l\cdot Q\not{l}}{((Q+\alpha l)^2-m_Q^2)^4}\frac{1}{l^2}
\end{aligned}
\tag{B31}
$$

the α can be integrated now, and finally, we find

$$
i_5=-\frac{i}{16\pi^2}\frac{1}{(x-y)}\frac{i}{16\pi^2}\left(\frac{1}{x}-\frac{\log\frac{x}{y}}{(x-y)}\right)\not{Q} \tag{B32}
$$

and the last term is

$$
\int_{-1}^{1}d\alpha\int\frac{d^Dl}{(2\pi)^D}\frac{m_Q}{((Q+\alpha l)^2-m_Q^2)^2}\frac{1}{l^2}=\frac{\not{Q}m_Q}{Q^2}i_1 \tag{B33}
$$

And now we can write I_1 as

$$
I_1=\frac{i}{16\pi^2}\left(-\frac{\not{Q}+m_Q}{y}\left(\frac{8x}{x-y}\log\frac{x}{y}+2\right)\right) \tag{B34}
$$

And then is the integral with I_2, after Feynman parameter, and neglect the terms with even times of α because they will vanish, and then we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_2=&\int_0^1dX\left(\int_{-1}^0d\alpha\alpha-\int_0^1d\alpha\alpha\right)\int\frac{d^Dl}{(2\pi)^D}\left(\frac{\Gamma(4)X^2}{\Gamma(3)}\frac{2m_Q^2((1-X)\not{Q}+m_Q)}{\alpha^6(l^2-\frac{\Delta}{\alpha^2})^4}\right.\\
&\left.-(\frac{D-2}{2})\frac{\Gamma(3)X}{\Gamma(2)}\frac{((1-X)\not{Q})}{\alpha^4(l^2-\frac{\Delta}{\alpha^2})^3}-(\frac{D-4}{2})\frac{\Gamma(3)X}{\Gamma(2)}\frac{m_Q}{\alpha^4(l^2-\frac{\Delta}{\alpha^2})^3}\right)\\
&\Delta=X^2Q^2-XQ^2+Xm_Q^2
\end{aligned}
\tag{B35}
$$

Now we can integrate α directly, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_2=&-\int_0^1dX\int\frac{d^Dl}{(2\pi)^D}\left(\frac{\Gamma(4)X^2}{\Gamma(3)}\frac{l^2-3\Delta}{6\Delta^2}\frac{2m_Q^2((1-X)\not{Q}+m_Q)}{(l^2-\Delta)^3}\right.\\
&\left.-(\frac{D-2}{2})\frac{\Gamma(3)X}{\Gamma(2)}\frac{1}{-2\Delta}\frac{((1-X)\not{Q})}{(l^2-\Delta)^2}-(\frac{D-4}{2})\frac{\Gamma(3)X}{\Gamma(2)}\frac{1}{-2\Delta}\frac{m_Q}{(l^2-\Delta)^2}\right)\\
=&-\frac{i}{16\pi^2}\left(\frac{\not{Q}+m_Q}{y}\left(N_{\rm UV}-\log\frac{y}{\mu^2}+\frac{x}{x-y}\log\frac{x}{y}\right)+\frac{\not{Q}}{(x-y)^2}\left(y-x+x\log\frac{x}{y}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
\tag{B36}
$$

Finally, we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi^{(1)\rm Wfc}\otimes T_b^{(0)}=&-i2g_s^2C_F\frac{i}{16\pi^2}\bar{v}_{\bar{q}}P_L^{\mu}\left(\frac{m_Q}{y}\left(\frac{x}{x-y}\log\frac{x}{y}+\frac{y}{x-y}-\frac{x^2}{(x-y)^2}\log\frac{x}{y}\right)\right.\\
&\left.+\frac{\not{Q}+m_Q}{y}\left(-N_{\rm UV}+\log\frac{y}{\mu^2}-\frac{8x}{x-y}\log\frac{x}{y}+\frac{y}{x-y}-\frac{x^2\log\frac{x}{y}}{(x-y)^2}-2\right)\right)\not{\epsilon}u_Q
\end{aligned}
\tag{B37}
$$

Use $m_Q \to 0$, we can see it will reproduce the light quark result.

Acknowledgements: This work is supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under contracts Nos. 11375088, 10975077, 10735080, 11125525.

---

[1] M. Neubert, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A**11**, 4173-4240 (1996), arXiv:hep-ph/9604412. M. Artuso, E. Barberio, S. Stone, PMC Physics A**3**, 3 (2009), arXiv:0902.3743.

[2] G. Burdman et al., Phys. Rev. D**52**, 6383 (1995); S. Fajfer, PoS BEAUTY2011, 016 (2011).

[3] P. F. Harrison and H. R. Quinn [BABAR Collaboration], The BaBar physics book, Physics at an asymmetric B factory, SLAC-R-0504; J. Nash, Int. J. Mod .Phys. A**17**, 2982-2998 (2002), arXiv:hep-ex/0201002; H. Tajima, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A**17**, 2967-2981 (2002), arXiv:hep-ex/0111037.

[4] R. P. Feynman, "Photon-Hadron Interactions", (Benjamin, Reading, MA, 1972).

[5] J. C. Collins, C. John, D. E. Soper, Nucl. Phys. B**194**, 445 (1982); J. C. Collins, L. Frankfurt, M. Strikman, Phys. Rev. D**56**, 2982-3006 (1997), arXiv:hep-ph/9611433; J. C. Collins, D. E. Soper, G Sterman, Adv. Ser. Direct. High Energy Phys. 5, 1-91 (1988), arXiv:hep-ph/0409313.

[6] M. Beneke, G. Buchalla, M. Neubert, C. T. Sachrajda, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 1914-1917 (1999), arXiv:hep-ph/9905312; M. Beneke, G. Buchalla, M. Neubert, C. T. Sachrajda, Nucl. Phys. B**591**, 313-418 (2000), arXiv:hep-ph/0006124; M. Beneke, G. Buchalla, M. Neubert, C. T. Sachrajda, Nucl. Phys. B**606**, 245-321 (2001), arXiv:hep-ph/0104110v1.

[7] R. J. Hill, M. Neubert, Nucl. Phys. B**657** 229-256 (2003), arXiv:hep-ph/0211018.

[8] C. W. Bauer, D. Pirjol, I. W. Stewart, Phys. Rev. D**67**, 071502 (2003), arXiv:hep-ph/0211069; M. Beneke, TUM-HEP 978/15, SFB/CPP-14-119, arXiv:1501.07374.

[9] E. Lunghi, D. Pirjol, D. Wyler, Nucl. Phys. B**649**, 349-364 (2003).

[10] C. W. Bauer, S. Fleming, M. Luke, Phys. Rev. D**63**, 014006 (2000). C. W. Bauer, S. Fleming, D. Pirjol, I. W. Stewart, Phys. Rev. D**63**, 114020 (2001).

[11] G. P. Korchemsky, D. Pirjol, T.-M. Yan, Phys. Rev. D**61**, 114510 (2000), arXiv:hep-ph/9911427v3.

[12] S. Descotes-Genon and C. T. Sachrajda, Nucl. Phys. B**650**, 356 - 390 (2003), arXiv:hep-ph/0209216v2.

[13] S. W. Bosch, R. J. Hill, B. O. Lange, M. Neubert, Phys. Rev. D**67**, 094014 (2003).

[14] N. Isgur and M. B. Wise, Phys. Lett. B**232**, 113 (1989); H. Georgi, Phys. Lett. B**240**, 447 (1990).

[15] M. Beneke, J. Rohrwild, Eur. Phys. J. **C**71, 1818 (2011), arXiv:1110.3228.

[16] J.-C. Yang, M.-Z. Yang, Nucl. Phys. B**889**, 778-800 (2014), arXiv:1409.0358.

[17] K. G. Wilson, Phys. Rev. D**10**, 2445 (1974).

[18] W. A. Bardeen, A. J. Buras, D. W. Duke, T. Muta, Phys. Rev. D**18**, 3998 (1978).

[19] G. Passarino, M. Veltman, Nucl. Phys. B**160**, 151 (1979); G.'t Hooft, M. Veltman, Nuclear Phys. B**153**, 365-401 (1979); G. J. van Oldenborgh, J. A. M. Vermaseren, Z. Phys. C**46**, 425 (1990); A. Denner, Fortschr. Phys. 41, 307-420, (1993).

[20] G. Buchalla, A. J. Buras, M. E. Lautenbacher, Rev. Mod. Phys. 68, 1125-1144 (1996), arXiv:hep-ph/9512380; H.-N. Li, arXiv:1308.0413.

[21] M.-Z. Yang, Eur. Phys. J. C**72**, 1880 (2012).

[22] M. Wirbel, B. Stech, and M. Bauer, Z. Phys. C - Particles and Fields 29, 637-642 (1985); D. Fakirov, B. Stech, Nucl. Phys. B**133**, 315 (1978).

[23] F. Hussain, G. Thompson, hep-ph/9502241.

[24] J.-C. Yang, M.-Z. Yang, Mod. Phys. Lett. A**27**, 1250120 (2012), arXiv:1204.2383.

[25] K. A. Olive et al., (Particle Data Group), Chin. Phys. C, 38, 090001 (2014) and 2015 update.

[26] C.-H. Chang et al., Phys. Rev. D**60**, 114013 (1999), arXiv:hep-ph/9904471.

[27] K. Aamodt et al. (ALICE Collaboration), JINST 3, S08002 (2008).

[28] B. Aubert et al. (BABAR Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D80, 111105(R) (2009).

[29] A. Heller et al. (Belle Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D91, 112009 (2015).

[30] D. Atwood, G. Eilam, A. Soni, Mod. Phys. Lett. A**11**, 1061 (1996).

[31] C.-Q. Geng, C.-C. Lih, W.-M. Zhang, Mod. Phys .Lett. A**25**, 2087 (2000).

[32] C.-D. Lu, G.-L. Song, Phys. Lett. B**562**, 75 (2003).

[33] K. G. Chetyrkin, F. V. Tkachov, Nucl. Phys. B**192**, 159-204 (1981); T. Gehrmann, E. Remiddi, Nucl. Phys. B**580**, 485-518, (2000), hep-ph/9912329; A. G. Grozin, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A**26**, 2807-2854 (2011), arXiv:1104.3993v4.